arXiv:0910.1280v2 [math.QA] 2 Mar 2011

Pointed Hopf algebras with classical Weyl groups

Shouchuan Zhang ^{a,b}, Yao-Zhong Zhang ^{b},

a. Department of Mathematics, Hunan University
Changsha 410082, P.R. China

b. School of Mathematics and Physics, The University of Queensland
Brisbane 4072, Australia

Emails: z9491@yahoo.com.cn (SZ); yzz@maths.uq.edu.au (YZZ)

March 3, 2011

Abstract

We prove that except in three cases Nichols algebras of irreducible Yetter-Drinfeld modules over classical Weyl groups $A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ supported by $\mathbb{S}_n$ are infinite dimensional. We give the necessary and sufficient conditions for Nichols algebras of Yetter-Drinfeld modules over classical Weyl groups $A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ supported by A to be finite dimensional.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16W30, 16G10

keywords: Quiver, Hopf algebra, Weyl group.

0 Introduction

The framework of the paper is the classification of finite dimensional complex pointed Hopf algebras with non abelian group G. It is known that the first necessary step is to consider the Nichols algebras associated to the irreducible Yetter-Drinfeld modules over G and decide if they are finite dimensional or not. These irreducible Yetter-Drinfeld modules are easy to classify: they are in one-to -one correspondence with pairs $(\mathcal{C}, \rho)$ where $\mathcal{C}$ is a conjugacy class of G and ρ is an irreducible representation of the centralizer of an element in $\mathcal{C}$. In short, it is necessary to see if the dimension of the corresponding Nichols algebra $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{C}, \rho)$ is finite or not. As pointed out early by Grana, one may start attacking this problem by looking at Nichols subalgebras of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{C}, \rho)$.

This paper deal specifically with the case when G is the Weyl group of a simple Lie algebra. The most prominent example is the symmetric group $\mathbb{S}_n$. For the group, a fair complete analysis is presented in [AFGV08], as a culmination of the series papers of [AZ07, AFZ]. The outcome is that all $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{C}, \rho)$ have infinite dimension, except for a small list of examples when $n \le 6$ and remarkable cases corresponding to $\mathcal{C}$ = the class of transpositions, and ρ = the restriction of representation sign or a closely related one. It is natural to guess that other Weyl group would also be distinguished.

The main results in this paper are summarized in the following statements.

Theorem 0.1. *Let $G = A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ with $n > 2$. Let $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n$ be of type $(1^{\lambda_1}, 2^{\lambda_2}, \ldots, n^{\lambda_n})$. If $\rho \in G^\sigma$, then ρ may be presented as follows: $\rho = \theta_{\chi,\mu} = (\chi \otimes \mu) \uparrow_{(G^\sigma)_\chi}^{G^\sigma} \in \widehat{A^\sigma \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n^\sigma}$ with $\chi = (\chi_2^{b_1} \otimes \cdots \chi_2^{b_n}) \in \widehat{A^\sigma}$ and $\mu \in \widehat{(\mathbb{S}_n^\sigma)_\chi}$, where $\mu = \otimes_{1 \le i \le n} \mu_i$ with $\mu_i \in \widehat{\mathbb{S}_{Y_i}^{\sigma_i}}$. Furthermore, if $\dim \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G, \rho) < \infty$, then some of the following hold with $Y_i \subseteq W_\chi$ or with $Y_i \cap W_\chi = \emptyset$.*

(i) $(1^{\lambda_1}, 2)$, $\mu_1 = \mathrm{sgn}$ *or* ϵ, $\mu_2 = \chi_{(1;2)}$.

(ii) $(2^1, 3^1)$, $\mu_2 = \chi_{(1;2)}$, $\mu_3 = \chi_{(0;3)}$.

(iii) (2^3), $\mu_2 = \chi_{(1,1,1;2)} \otimes \epsilon$ *or* $\chi_{(1,1,1;2)} \otimes \mathrm{sgn}$.

(iv) *(4)*, $\mu_1 = \chi_{(1;4)}$ *or* $\chi_{(3;4)}$.

Theorem 0.2. *Let $G = A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ be a classical Weyl group with $A \subseteq (C_2)^n$ and $n > 2$. Assume that $M = M(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_1}, \rho^{(1)}) \oplus M(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_2}, \rho^{(2)}) \oplus \cdots \oplus M(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_m}, \rho^{(m)})$ is a reducible* YD *module over kG .*

(i) *Assume that there exist $i \ne j$ such that $\sigma_i, \sigma_j \notin A$. If $\dim \mathfrak{B}(M) < \infty$, then $n = 4$, the type of σ_p is 2^2 and the sign of σ_p is stable when $\sigma_p \notin A$.*

(ii) *Assume that there exists $\sigma_i \notin A$. If $\dim \mathfrak{B}(M) < \infty$, then $\sigma_i = (a, \tau)$ with $\tau^2 = 1$.*

(iii) *Assume that $\sigma_i \notin A$ for $1 \le i \le m$. If $\dim \mathfrak{B}(M) < \infty$, then there is at most one $\sigma_i \notin Z(G)$.*

(iv) *If $\sigma_i = \alpha =: (g_2, g_2, \cdots, g_2) \in G$ and $\rho^{(i)} = \theta_{\chi^{(i)}, \mu^{(i)}} := (\chi^{(i)} \otimes \mu^{(i)}) \uparrow_{G^\alpha_{\chi^{(i)}}}^{G^\alpha} \in \widehat{G^\alpha}$, $1 \le i \le m$. Then $\mathfrak{B}(M)$ is finite dimensional if and only if $\chi^{(i)}(\alpha) = -1$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$.*

Indeed, Theorem 0.1 follows Theorem 2.7. Theorem 0.2(i) follows Remark 4.12. Theorem 0.2(ii) follows Theorem 4.13. Theorem 0.2(iii) follows Theorem 4.13. Theorem 0.2(iv) follows Remark 3.9.

Now we present these results by means of the following table. In this table Nichols algebras $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}_\sigma, \rho)$ of irreducible Yetter-Drinfeld modules over $G = A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ ($n > 2$) have infinite dimensions.

case	σ	**Representation**	**Reference**
1	$\sigma = e$, the unity of G	any	[AZ07]
2	$\sigma \in \mathbb{A}_n, n \geq 5, n \neq 6$	any	Th. 2.5
3	$\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n, n \geq 3, n \neq 4, 5, 6$, σ is not a transposition	any	Th. 2.7
4	the type of σ is 2^3, $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_6$, $n = 6$	$\mu_2 \neq \chi_{(1,1,1;2)} \otimes \epsilon$, $\mu_2 \neq \chi_{(1,1,1;2)} \otimes \mathrm{sgn}$.	Th. 2.7
5	the type of σ is $2^1 3^1$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_5$, $n = 5$	$\mu_2 \neq \chi_{(1;2)}$, $\mu_3 \neq \chi_{(0;3)}$	Th. 2.7
6	the type of σ is 4^1, $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_4$, $n = 4$	$\mu_1 \neq \chi_{(1;4)}$, $\chi_{(3;4)}$	Th. 2.7
7	the type of σ is not 2^3, $2^1 3^1$, 4^1, $1^{\lambda_1} 2^1$	any	Th. 2.7
8	$\sigma = (\alpha, \tau)$, $\alpha = (g_2, g_2, \cdots, g_2)$, $\tau \in \mathbb{S}_n$, τ satisfies the case 1–7	$\chi(\alpha) = 1$, μ satisfies the case 1–7	Pro. 2.10
9	$\sigma = (a, \tau)$, the type of τ is 2^2, $a = (g_2^{a_1}, g_2^{a_2}, g_2^{a_3}, g_2^{a_4})$, $a_1 + a_2 \equiv a_3 + a_4 \equiv 0 \pmod 2$	any	Pro. 2.9

Table 1

For general case $\sigma = (a, \tau) \in G = A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$, we have not considered because we have not known the relation between Nichols algebras over group $G = A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ and group $\mathbb{S}_n$.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 1 we give the relation between Nichols algebras over group $A \rtimes D$ and group D. In section 2 we prove that except in three cases Nichols algebras of irreducible YD modules supported by $\mathbb{S}_n$ are infinite dimensional. In section 3 we give the relationship between Nichols algebras over a group G and a subgroup D of G. In section 4, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a Nichols algebra of a YD module supported by A to be finite dimensional. It is proved that if M is a reducible YD module over kG supported by $\mathbb{S}_n$ with $n \geq 3$, then $\dim \mathfrak{B}(M) = \infty$ and if M is a YD module over kG supported by $\mathbb{A}_n$ with $n \geq 5$ and $n \neq 6$, then $\dim \mathfrak{B}(M) = \infty$. In section 5 we establish the relationship between Nichols algebras over the Weyl groups of B_n and D_n. In the Appendix, the conjugacy classes of the Weyl groups of B_n and D_n are presented.

Preliminaries and Conventions

Let k be the complex field and G a finite group. Let $\widehat{G}$ denote the set of all isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of the group G, G^σ be the centralizer of σ, $\mathcal{O}_\sigma$ or $\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G$ be the conjugacy class in G, $\mathcal{C}_j$ the cyclic group with order j, g_j be a generator of $\mathcal{C}_j$ and χ_j be a character of $\mathcal{C}_j$ with order j. The Weyl groups of A_n, B_n, C_n and D_n are called the classical Weyl groups, written as $W(A_n)$, $W(B_n)$, $W(C_n)$ and $W(D_n)$, respectively. Given a representation ρ of the subgroup D of G, let $\rho\uparrow_D^G$ denote the induced representation of G as in [Sa01].

Let $\deg(\rho)$ denote the dimension of the representation space V for a representation (ρ, V).

Recall the notation RSR in [ZCZ, Definition 1.1]. Let ρ_C be a representation of $G^{u(C)}$ with irreducible decomposition $\rho_C = \oplus_{i\in I_C(r,u)}\rho_C^{(i)}$, where u is a map from $\mathcal{K}(G)$ to G with $u(C) \in C$ for any $C \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ and $I_C(r, u)$ is an index set. Let $\overrightarrow{\rho}$ denote $\{\rho_C\}_{C\in\mathcal{K}_r(G)} = \{\{\rho_C^{(i)}\}_{i\in I_C(r,u)}\}_{C\in\mathcal{K}_r(G)}$. Then $(G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)$ is called an RSR if $\deg(\rho_C) = r_C$ for any $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$, written as $\mathrm{RSR}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)$. For any $\mathrm{RSR}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)$, we obtain a co-path Hopf algebra $kQ^c(G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)$, a Hopf algebra $kG[kQ_1^c, G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u]$ of one type, a kG-YD module $(kQ_1^1, ad(G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u))$ and a Nichols algebra $\mathfrak{B}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u) := \mathfrak{B}(kQ_1^1, ad(G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u))$ (see [ZCZ]).

If a ramification $r = r_C C$ and $|\, I_C(r, u)\,| = 1$ then we say that $\mathrm{RSR}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)$ is of bi-one since r only has one conjugacy class C and $|\, I_C(r, u)\,| = 1$. Furthermore, if $\sigma = u(C)$, $C = \mathcal{O}_\sigma$, $r_C = m$ and $\rho_C^{(i)} = \rho$ for $i \in I_C(r, u)$, then bi-one $\mathrm{RSR}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)$ is denoted by $\mathrm{RSR}(G, m\mathcal{O}_\sigma, \rho)$, or $\mathrm{RSR}(G, \mathcal{O}_\sigma, \rho)$ in short.

$\mathrm{RSR}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)$ is said to be -1-type, if $u(C)$ is *real* (i.e. $u(C)^{-1} \in C$) and the order of $u(C)$ is even with $\rho_C^{(i)}(u(C)) = -\mathrm{id}$ for any $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$ and any $i \in I_C(r, u)$. In this case, the Nichols algebra $\mathfrak{B}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)$ is said to be -1-type.

For $s \in G$ and $(\rho, V) \in \widehat{G^s}$, here is a precise description of the YD module $M(\mathcal{O}_s, \rho)$, see [Gr00, AZ07]. Let $t_1 = s, \ldots, t_m$ be a numeration of conjugacy class $\mathcal{O}_s$ containing s, and choose $h_i \in G$ such that $h_i \triangleright s := h_i s h_i^{-1} = t_i$ for all $1 \le i \le m$. Then $M(\mathcal{O}_s, \rho) = \oplus_{1\le i\le m} h_i \otimes V$. Let $h_i v := h_i \otimes v \in M(\mathcal{O}_s, \rho)$, $1 \le i \le m$, $v \in V$. If $v \in V$ and $1 \le i \le m$, then the action of $h \in G$ and the coaction are given by

$$\delta(h_i v) = t_i \otimes h_i v, \qquad h \cdot (h_i v) = h_j(\gamma \cdot v), \tag{0.1}$$

where $hh_i = h_j\gamma$, for some $1 \le j \le m$ and $\gamma \in G^s$. Let $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}_s, \rho)$ denote $\mathfrak{B}(M(\mathcal{O}_s, \rho))$. By [ZZWCY, Lemma 1.1], there exists a bi-one arrow Nichols algebra $\mathfrak{B}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)$ such that $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}_s, \rho) \cong \mathfrak{B}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)$ as graded braided Hopf algebras in ${}^{kG}_{kG}\mathcal{Y}D$. This gives the relation of the two Nichols algebras. A YD module is said to be reducible if it has a non-trivial YD submodule. If $\rho = \rho^{(1)} \oplus \rho^{(2)} \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho^{(m)}$ with $\rho^{(i)} \in \widehat{G^\sigma}$ for $1 \le i \le m$, then $M(\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G, \rho^{(1)}) \oplus M(\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G, \rho^{(2)}) \oplus \cdots \oplus M(\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G, \rho^{(m)})$ is called a YD module of ρ, also written as $M(\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G, \rho)$.

Let V be a braided vector space with a basis $\{x_i \mid i = 1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ and $B(x_i \otimes x_j) = q_{ij}(x_j \otimes x_i)$. If there exists a generalized Cartan matrix (a_{ij}) such that satisfy

$$q_{ij}q_{ji} = q_{jj}^{a_{ij}} \tag{0.2}$$

for any $i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, then braiding B (or V, or $\mathfrak{B}(V)$) is called a braiding of the Cartan type. We assume that we choose a_{ij} such that they maximally satisfy (0.2). That is, a_{ij} is the maximal non-positive integer satisfying (0.2). Thus $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ is finite dimensional if and only if A is of finite type (see [He06b, Theorem 4]).

If D is a subgroup of G and C is a conjugacy class of D, then C_G denotes the conjugacy class of G containing C.

1 $G = A \rtimes D$

In this section we give the relation between Nichols algebras over group $A \rtimes D$ and group D.

Let $G = A \rtimes D$ be a semidirect product of abelian group A and group D. For any $\chi \in \hat{A}$, let $D_\chi := \{h \in D \mid h \cdot \chi = \chi\}$; $G_\chi := A \rtimes D_\chi$. For an irreducible representation ρ of D_χ, let $\theta_{\chi,\rho} := (\chi \otimes \rho) \uparrow_{G_\chi}^G$, the induced representation of $\chi \otimes \rho$ on G. By [Se, Pro.25], every irreducible representation of G is of the following form: $\theta_{\chi,\rho}$. Let $\epsilon \in \hat{A}$ with $\epsilon(a) = 1$ for any $a \in A$. Thus $D_\epsilon = D$ and $\theta_{\epsilon,\rho}$ is an irreducible representation of G.

Lemma 1.1. *Let $G = A \rtimes D$ and $\sigma \in D$. Then $G^\sigma = A^\sigma \rtimes D^\sigma$.*

Proof. If $x = (a, d) \in G^\sigma$, then $x\sigma = \sigma x$. Thus

$$a = \sigma \cdot a \quad \text{and} \qquad d\sigma = \sigma d. \tag{1.1}$$

This implies $d \in D^\sigma$ and $a \in A^\sigma$ since $\sigma \cdot a = \sigma a \sigma^{-1}$.

Conversely, if $x = (a, d) \in A^\sigma \rtimes D^\sigma$, then (1.1) holds. This implies $x\sigma = \sigma x$ and $x \in G^\sigma$. □

Lemma 1.2. *Let D be a subgroup of G with $\sigma \in D$ and let right coset decompositions of D^σ in D be*

$$D = \bigcup_{\theta \in \Theta} D^\sigma g_\theta. \tag{1.2}$$

Then there exists a set Θ' with $\Theta \subseteq \Theta'$ such that

$$G = \bigcup_{\theta \in \Theta'} G^\sigma g_\theta \tag{1.3}$$

is a right coset decompositions of G^σ in G.

Proof. For any $h, g \in D$, It is clear that $hg^{-1} \in D^\sigma$ if and only if $hg^{-1} \in G^\sigma$, which prove the claim. □

Lemma 1.3. *If $kQ^c(G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)$ is a co-path Hopf algebra (see [ZZC, ZCZ]), then $kG + kQ_1 = (kG[kQ_1^c])_1$, where $kG[kQ_1^c] := kG[kQ_1^c, G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u]$ and $(kG[kQ_1^c])_1$ denotes the second term of the coradical filtration of $kG[kQ_1^c]$.*

Proof. By [ZCZ, Lemma 2.2], $R := \mathrm{diag}(kG[kQ_1^c])$ is a Nichols algebra. [AS98, Lemma 2.5] yields that $kG[kQ_1^c]$ is coradically graded. $\Box$

Definition 1.4. *Let D be a subgroup of G; r and r' ramifications of D and G, respectively. If $r_C \leq r'_{C_G}$ for any $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(D)$, then r is called a subramification of r', written as $r \leq r'$. Furthermore, if $u(C) = u'(C_G)$, $I_C(r,u) \subseteq I_{C_G}(r', u')$ and $\rho_C^{(i)}$ is isomorphic to a subrepresentation of the restriction of ${\rho'}_{C_G}^{(i)}$ on $D^{u(C)}$ for any $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(D)$, $i \in I_C(r,u)$, then* $\mathrm{RSR}(D, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)$ *is called a sub-*RSR *of* $\mathrm{RSR}(G, r', \overrightarrow{\rho'}, u')$*, written as* $\mathrm{RSR}(D, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u) \leq \mathrm{RSR}(G, r', \overrightarrow{\rho'}, u')$.

Lemma 1.5. *Let D be a subgroup of G. If $\sigma \in D$, then* $\mathrm{RSR}(D, \mathcal{O}_\sigma, \rho) \leq \mathrm{RSR}(G, \mathcal{O}_\sigma, \rho')$ *if and only if ρ is isomorphic to subrepresentation of the restriction of ρ' on D^σ.*

Proof. It follows from Definition 3.7. $\Box$

Proposition 1.6. *Let D be a subgroup of G. If* $\mathrm{RSR}(D, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u) \leq \mathrm{RSR}(G, r', \overrightarrow{\rho'}, u')$*, then*

(i) *$kQ^c(D, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)$ is a Hopf subalgebra $kQ'^c(G, r', \overrightarrow{\rho'}, u')$.*

(ii) *$kD[kQ^c, D, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u]$ is a Hopf subalgebra $kG[kQ'^c, G, r', \overrightarrow{\rho'}, u']$.*

(iii) *If $\mathfrak{B}(G, r', \overrightarrow{\rho'}, u')$ is finite dimensional with finite group G then so is $\mathfrak{B}(D, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)$.*

Proof. (i) For any $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(D)$ and $i \in I_C(r,u)$, let $X_C^{(i)}$ be a representation space of $\rho_C^{(i)}$ with a basis $\{x_C^{(i,j)} \mid j \in J_C(i)\}$ and ${X'}_{C_G}^{(i)}$ a representation space of ${\rho'}_{C_G}^{(i)}$ with a basis $\{{x'}_{C_G}^{(i,j)} \mid j \in J_{C_G}(i)\}$ and $J_C(i) \subseteq J_{C_G}(i)$. $\psi_C^{(i)}$ is a $kD^{u(C)}$-module monomorphism from $X_C^{(i)}$ to ${X'}_{C_G}^{(i)}$ with ${x'}_{C_G}^{(i,j)} = \psi_C^{(i)}(x_C^{(i,j)})$ for $i \in I_C(r,u)$, $j \in J_C(i)$.

Let ϕ be an inclusion map from kD to kG and ψ is a map from $kQ_1^c(D, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)$ to ${kQ'_1}^c(G, r', \overrightarrow{\rho'}, u')$ by sending $a_{y,x}^{(i,j)}$ to ${a'}_{y,x}^{(i,j)}$ for any $y, x \in D$, $i \in I_C(r,u)$, $j \in J_C(i)$ with $x^{-1}y \in C \in \mathcal{K}_r(D)$. Now we show that ψ is a kD-bimodule homomorphism from kQ_1^c to ${}_\phi({kQ'_1}^c)_\phi$ and a kG-bicomodule homomorphism from ${}^\phi(kQ_1^c)^\phi$ to ${kQ'_1}^c$. We only show this about right modules since the others are similar. For any $h \in D$, $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(D)$, $i \in I_C(r,u)$, $j \in J_C(i)$, $x, y \in D$ with $x^{-1}y = g_\theta^{-1}u(C)g_\theta$ and $g_\theta h = \zeta_\theta(h) g_{\theta'}$, $\zeta_\theta(h) \in D^\sigma$, $\theta, \theta' \in \Theta_C \subseteq \Theta_{C_G}$ (see Lemma 3.3), see

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(a_{y,x}^{(i,j)} \cdot h) &= \psi\Big(\sum_{s \in J_C(i)} k_{C,h}^{(i,j,s)} a_{yh,xh}^{(i,s)}\Big) \ \text{(by [ZCZ, Pro.1.2])} \\
&= \sum_{s \in J_C(i)} k_{C,h}^{(i,j,s)} {a'}_{yh,xh}^{(i,s)} \ \text{ and} \\
\psi(a_{y,x}^{(i,j)}) \cdot h &= {a'}_{y,x}^{(i,j)} \cdot h \\
&= \sum_{s \in J_{C_G}(i)} k_{C_G,h}^{(i,j,s)} {a'}_{yh,xh}^{(i,s)} \ \text{(by [ZCZ, Pro.1.2]),}
\end{aligned}$$

where $x_C^{(i,j)} \cdot \zeta_\theta(h) = \sum_{s\in J_C(i)} k_{C,h}^{(i,j,s)} x_C^{(i,s)}$, ${x'}_{C_G}^{(i,j)} \cdot \zeta_\theta(h) = \sum_{s\in J_{C_G}(i)} k_{C_G,h}^{(i,j,s)} {x'}_{C_G}^{(i,s)}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} {x'}_{C_G}^{(i,j)} \cdot \zeta_\theta(h) &= \psi_C^{(i)}(x_C^{(i,j)}) \cdot \zeta_\theta(h) = \psi_C^{(i)}(x_C^{(i,j)} \cdot \zeta_\theta(h)) \\ &= \psi_C^{(i)}(\sum_{s\in J_C(i)} k_{C,h}^{(i,j,s)} x_C^{(i,s)}) = \sum_{s\in J_C(i)} k_{C,h}^{(i,j,s)} {x'}_{C_G}^{(i,s)}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\psi(a_{y,x}^{(i,j)} \cdot h) = \psi(a_{y,x}^{(i,j)}) \cdot h$.

By [ZZC, Lemma 1.5], $T_{kG}^c(\phi\pi_0, \psi\pi_1) := \phi\pi_0 + \sum_{n>0} T_n^c(\psi\pi_1)\Delta_{n-1}$ is a graded Hopf algebra map from $T_{kD}^c(kQ_1^c)$ to $T_{kG}^c(k{Q'}_1^c)$. By Lemma 3.5, $(T_{kD}^c(kQ_1^c))_1 = kD + kQ_1^c$. Since the restriction of $T_{kG}^c(\phi\pi_0, \psi\pi_1)$ on $(T_{kD}^c(kQ_1^c))_1$ is $\phi + \psi$, we have that $T_{kG}^c(\phi\pi_0, \psi\pi_1)$ is injective by [Mo93, Theorem 5.3.1].

(ii) It follows from Part (i).

(iii). By [ZCZ, Lemma 2.1] $kD[kQ^c, D, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u] \cong \mathfrak{B}(D, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)\#kD$ and $kG[kQ'^c, G, r', \overrightarrow{\rho'}, u'] \cong \mathfrak{B}(G, r', \overrightarrow{\rho'}, u')\#kG$. Applying Part (ii) we complete the proof. □

The relation " $\leq$ " has the transitivity, i.e.

Lemma 1.7. *Assume that G is a subgroup of G' and G' is a subgroup of G''. If* $\mathrm{RSR}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u) \leq \mathrm{RSR}(G', r', \overrightarrow{\rho'}, u')$ *and* $\mathrm{RSR}(G', r', \overrightarrow{\rho'}, u') \leq \mathrm{RSR}(G'', r'', \overrightarrow{\rho''}, u'')$*, then* $\mathrm{RSR}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u) \leq \mathrm{RSR}(G'', r, \overrightarrow{\rho''}, u'')$.

Proof. Obviously, G is a subgroup of G'' and $r \leq r''$. For any $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$ and $i \in I_C(r, u)$, then $u(C) = u'(C_{G'}) = u''(C_{G''})$. Let $s = u(C)$ and let $X_C^{(i)}$, ${X'}_{C_{G'}}^{(i)}$ and ${X''}_{C_{G''}}^{(i)}$ be representation spaces of $\rho_C^{(i)}$, ${\rho'}_{C_{G'}}^{(i)}$ and ${\rho''}_{C_{G''}}^{(i)}$, respectively. Let $(X_C^{(i)}, \rho_C^{(i)})$ be isomorphic to a subrepresentation $(N, {\rho'}_{C_{G'}}^{(i)} \mid_{G^s})$ of $({X'}_{C_{G'}}^{(i)}, {\rho'}_{C_{G'}}^{(i)} \mid_{G^s})$. Considering $({X'}_{C_{G'}}^{(i)}, {\rho'}_{C_{G'}}^{(i)})$ is isomorphic to a subrepresentation of $({X''}_{C_{G''}}^{(i)}, {\rho''}_{C_{G''}}^{(i)} \mid_{G'^s})$, we have that $(N, {\rho'}_{C_{G'}}^{(i)} \mid_{G^s})$ is isomorphic to a subrepresentation of $({X''}_{C_{G''}}^{(i)}, {\rho''}_{C_{G''}}^{(i)} \mid_{G^s})$. Consequently, $(X_C^{(i)}, \rho_C^{(i)})$ is isomorphic to a subrepresentation of $({X''}_{C_{G''}}^{(i)}, {\rho''}_{C_{G''}}^{(i)} \mid_{G^s})$. □

Lemma 1.8. *Let N be a subgroup of G and (X, ρ) be an irreducible representation of N^σ with $\sigma \in N$. If the induced representation $\rho' := \rho \uparrow_{N^\sigma}^{G^\sigma}$ is an irreducible representation of G^σ, then* $\mathrm{RSR}(N, \mathcal{O}_\sigma, \rho) \leq \mathrm{RSR}(G, \mathcal{O}_\sigma, \rho \uparrow_{N^\sigma}^{G^\sigma})$.

Proof. Since $(X, \rho) \cong (X \otimes_{kN^\sigma} 1, \rho' \mid_{kN^\sigma})$ by sending x to $x \otimes 1$ for any $x \in X$, the claim holds. □

Proposition 1.9. *Let $G = A \rtimes D$ with $\sigma \in D$, $\chi \in \widehat{A^\sigma}$, $G_\chi = A \rtimes D_\chi$, $\rho \in \widehat{D_\chi^\sigma}$ and $\theta_{\chi,\rho} := (\chi \otimes \rho) \uparrow_{G_\chi^\sigma}^{G^\sigma}$. Then*

(i) $\mathrm{RSR}(G_\chi, \mathcal{O}_\sigma, \chi \otimes \rho) \leq \mathrm{RSR}(G, \mathcal{O}_\sigma, \theta_{\chi,\rho})$

(ii) $\mathrm{RSR}(G_\chi, \mathcal{O}_\sigma, \chi \otimes \rho)$ *is -1-type if and only if* $\mathrm{RSR}(G, \mathcal{O}_\sigma, \theta_{\chi,\rho})$ *is -1-type.*

(iii) $\mathrm{RSR}(D_\chi, \mathcal{O}_\sigma, \rho) \leq \mathrm{RSR}(G, \mathcal{O}_\sigma, \theta_{\chi,\rho})$.

(iv) $\mathrm{RSR}(D_\chi, \mathcal{O}_\sigma, \rho)$ *is -1-type if and only if* $\mathrm{RSR}(G, \mathcal{O}_\sigma, \theta_{\chi,\rho})$ *is -1-type.*

Proof. (i) It follows from Lemma 1.8.

(ii) Let P and X be representation spaces of χ and ρ, respectively. Then $(P\otimes X)\otimes_{kG^\sigma_\chi} kG^\sigma$ is a representation space of $\rho' := \theta_{\chi,\rho}$. If $\rho(\sigma) = -id$, then for any $g\in G^\sigma$, $x\in X$, $p\in P$, we have $((p\otimes x)\otimes_{kG^\sigma_\chi} g)\cdot\sigma = ((p\otimes x)\cdot\sigma)\otimes_{kG^\sigma_\chi} g = -(p\otimes x)\otimes_{kG^\sigma_\chi} g$. Therefore $\rho'(\sigma) = -id$. Conversely, if $\rho'(\sigma) = -id$, then $((p\otimes x)\otimes_{kG^\sigma_\chi} 1)\cdot\sigma = ((p\otimes x)\cdot\sigma)\otimes_{kG^\sigma_\chi} 1 = -(p\otimes x)\otimes_{kG^\sigma_\chi} 1$. Therefore $(p\otimes x)\cdot\sigma = -p\otimes x$ for any $x\in X$.

(iii) By (i), it is enough to show $\mathrm{RSR}(D_\chi, \mathcal{O}_\sigma, \rho) \le \mathrm{RSR}(G_\chi, \mathcal{O}_\sigma, \chi\otimes\rho)$. Let P and X be the representation spaces of χ and ρ on A^σ and D^σ_χ, respectively. Thus $(P\otimes X, \chi\otimes\rho)$ is an irreducible representation of $G^\sigma_\chi := A^\sigma \rtimes (D^\sigma)_\chi$. Considering Definition 3.7 we only need to show that ρ is isomorphic to a submodule of the restriction of $\chi\otimes\rho$ on D^σ_χ. Fix a nonzero $p\in P$ and define a map ψ from X to $P\otimes X$ by sending x to $p\otimes x$ for any $x\in X$. It is clear that ψ is a kD^σ_χ-module isomorphism.

(iv) Considering Part (ii), we only show that $\mathrm{RSR}(D_\chi, \mathcal{O}_\sigma, \rho)$ is -1-type if and only if $\mathrm{RSR}(G_\chi, \mathcal{O}_\sigma, \chi\otimes\rho)$ is -1-type. Since $\chi_\rho(\sigma) = \chi_{(\chi\otimes\rho)}(\sigma)$, the claim holds. □

If $D_\chi = D$, then it follows from the proposition above that $\mathrm{RSR}(D, \mathcal{O}_\sigma, \rho) \le \mathrm{RSR}(G, \mathcal{O}_\sigma, \theta_{\chi,\rho})$. Therefore we have

Corollary 1.10. *Let* $G = A \rtimes D$*. If* $r \le r'$ *and* ${\rho'}^{(i)}_{C_G} = \theta_{\chi^{(i)}_C, \rho^{(i)}_C}$ *with* $D_{\chi^{(i)}_C} = D$, $\chi^{(i)}_C \in \widehat{A^{u(C)}}$, $u(C) = u'(C_G)$ *and* $I_C(r,u) \subseteq I_{C_G}(r',u')$ *for any* $i \in I_C(r,u)$, $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(D)$, *then* $\mathrm{RSR}(D, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u) \le \mathrm{RSR}(G, r', \overrightarrow{\rho'}, u')$*. Furthermore, if* $I_C(r,u) = I_{C_G}(r',u')$ *for any* $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(D)$ *and* $\mathcal{K}_{r'}(G) = \{C_G \mid C \in \mathcal{K}_r(D)\}$*, then* $\mathrm{RSR}(D, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)$ *is* -1*-type if and only if* $\mathrm{RSR}(G, r', \overrightarrow{\rho'}, u')$ *is* -1*-type.*

Lemma 1.11. *Let* $G = G_1 \times G_2$*. If* $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in G$ *with* $\rho_1 \in \widehat{G_1^{\sigma_1}}$ *and* $\rho_2 \in \widehat{G_2^{\sigma_2}}$*, then*

(i) $G^\sigma = G^\sigma_1 \times G^\sigma_2$*;* $G^\sigma_1 = G_1^{\sigma_1}$ *and* $G^\sigma_2 = G_2^{\sigma_2}$*.*

(ii) $\mathcal{O}^G_\sigma = \mathcal{O}^{G_1}_{\sigma_1} \times \mathcal{O}^{G_2}_{\sigma_2}$*, where* $\mathcal{O}^G_\sigma$ *denotes the conjugacy class containing* σ *of* G*.*

(iii) $\mathrm{RSR}(G_1, \mathcal{O}_{\sigma_1}, \rho_1) \le \mathrm{RSR}(G, \mathcal{O}_{\sigma_1}, \rho_1\otimes\rho_2)$ *when* $\sigma_2 = 1$*;* $\mathrm{RSR}(G_2, \mathcal{O}_{\sigma_2}, \rho_2) \le \mathrm{RSR}(G, \mathcal{O}_{\sigma_2}, \rho_1\otimes\rho_2)$ *when* $\sigma_1 = 1$*.*

Proof. (i) It is clear $G^\sigma_1 = G_1^{\sigma_1}$ and $G^\sigma_2 = G_2^{\sigma_2}$. For any $x = (a,h) \in G^\sigma$, then $x\sigma = \sigma x$, which implies that $a\sigma_1 = \sigma_1 a$ and $h\sigma_2 = \sigma_2 h$. Thus $x \in G^\sigma_1 \times G^\sigma_2$ and $G^\sigma \subseteq G^\sigma_1 \times G^\sigma_2$. Similarly, we have $G^\sigma_1 \times G^\sigma_2 \subseteq G^\sigma$.

(ii) It is clear.

(iii) We only show the first claim. It is clear that ρ_1 is isomorphic to a subrepresentation of the restriction of $\rho_1\otimes\rho_2$ on the $G_1^{\sigma_1}$. Indeed, assume that X and Y are the representation spaces of ρ_1 and ρ_2, respectively. Obviously, $G_1^{\sigma_1}$-module (X, ρ_1) is isomorphic to a submodule of the restriction of $\rho_1\otimes\rho_2$ on $G_1^{\sigma_1}$ under isomorphism ψ form X to $X\otimes y_0$ by sending x to $x\otimes y_0$ for any $x\in X$, where y_0 is a non-zero fixed element in Y. □

Lemma 1.12. *Let* $G = G_1 \times G_2$ *and* $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in G$ *with* $\rho_1 \in \widehat{G_1^{\sigma_1}}$ *and* $\rho_2 \in \widehat{G_2^{\sigma_2}}$.

(i) *If* $\sigma_2 = 1$*, then* $\mathrm{RSR}(G, \mathcal{O}_{\sigma_1}, \rho_1 \otimes \rho_2)$ *is* -1*-type if and only if* $\mathrm{RSR}(G_1, \mathcal{O}_{\sigma_1}, \rho_1)$ *is* -1*-type.*

(ii) *If* $\sigma_1 = 1$*, then* $\mathrm{RSR}(G, \mathcal{O}_{\sigma_2}, \rho_1 \otimes \rho_2)$ *is* -1*-type if and only if* $\mathrm{RSR}(G_2, \mathcal{O}_{\sigma_2}, \rho_2)$ *is* -1*-type.*

Proof. (i) Considering $\chi_{\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2}(\sigma_1) = \chi_{\rho_1}(\sigma_1)\mathrm{deg}(\rho_2)$, we can complete the proof.

(ii) It is similar. □

Lemma 1.13. $\theta_{\chi,\rho}$ *is a one dimensional representation of* $G^\sigma = A^\sigma \rtimes D^\sigma$ *if and only if* $D^\sigma_\chi = D^\sigma$ *and* $\mathrm{deg}\rho = 1$

Proof. Let P and X be the representation spaces of χ and ρ on A^σ and D^σ_χ, respectively. $((P \otimes X) \otimes_{kG^\sigma_\chi} kG^\sigma, \theta_{\chi,\rho})$ is a one dimensional representation of $G^\sigma = A^\sigma \rtimes D^\sigma$ if and only if $kG^\sigma = kG^\sigma_\chi$ and $\mathrm{dim}X = 1$. However. $kG^\sigma = kG^\sigma_\chi$ if and only if $D^\sigma_\chi = D^\sigma$. □

Consequently, $\theta_{\chi,\rho} = \chi \otimes \rho$ when $\theta_{\chi,\rho}$ is one dimensional representation.

2 Classical Weyl groups

In this section we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a Nichols algebra of irreducible YD module supported by A to be finite dimensional, and show that except in three cases Nichols algebras of irreducible YD modules supported by $\mathbb{S}_n$ are infinite dimensional.

By Section 6 (i.e. the Appendix), [Ca72], [ZWW, Definition 2.5], [Su78, page 272], $(\mathcal{C}_2)^n \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ is isomorphic to the Weyl groups $W(B_n)$ and $W(C_n)$ of B_n and C_n, where $n > 2$. If $A = \{a \in (\mathcal{C}_2)^n \mid a = (g_2^{a_1}, g_2^{a_2}, \cdots, g_2^{a_n}) \text{ with } a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n \equiv 0 \pmod 2\}$, then $A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ is isomorphic to the Weyl group $W(D_n)$ of D_n, where $n > 3$. Obviously, when $A = \{a \in (\mathcal{C}_2)^n \mid a = (g_2^{a_1}, g_2^{a_2}, \cdots, g_2^{a_n}) \text{ with all } a_i = 0\}$, $A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ are isomorphic to the Weyl group of A_{n-1}, where $n > 1$. Note that $\mathbb{S}_n$ acts on A as follows: for any $a \in A$ with $a = (g_2^{a_1}, g_2^{a_2}, \cdots, g_2^{a_n})$ and $h \in \mathbb{S}_n$, define

$$h \cdot a := (g_2^{a_{h^{-1}(1)}}, g_2^{a_{h^{-1}(2)}}, \cdots, g_2^{a_{h^{-1}(n)}}). \tag{2.1}$$

Without specification, $G = A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ is a subgroup of $(\mathcal{C}_2)^n \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$.

2.1 $\sigma \in A$

Lemma 2.1. *If* $\sigma \in A$*, then* $(A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n)^\sigma = A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n^\sigma$.

Proof. It is clear that $(a, \tau) \in (A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n)^\sigma$ if and only if $\tau \in \mathbb{S}_n^\sigma$. □

Let $\sigma = (g_2^{a_1}, g_2^{a_2}, \cdots, g_2^{a_2}) \in A$. If ρ is a representation of $\widehat{G^\sigma}$, then $\rho = \theta_{(\chi\otimes\mu)} = (\chi\otimes\mu)\uparrow^{G^\sigma}_{(G^\sigma)_\chi}$ with $\chi = \chi_2^{b_1}\otimes\chi_2^{b_2}\otimes\cdots\otimes\chi_2^{b_n} \in \hat{A}$, $(G^\sigma)_\chi = A \rtimes (\mathbb{S}_n^\sigma)_\chi$ and $(\mathbb{S}_n^\sigma)_\chi := \{\tau \in \mathbb{S}_n^\sigma \mid \tau\cdot\chi = \chi\}$ and $\mu \in \widehat{(\mathbb{S}_n^\sigma)_\chi}$, see [Se, Proposition 2.5]. Let $f_{\tau\cdot\sigma,\chi} := b_1 a_{\tau^{-1}(1)} + b_2 a_{\tau^{-1}(2)} + \dots b_n a_{\tau^{-1}(n)}$, $W_\sigma := \{i \mid a_i \neq 0\}$ and $W_\chi = \{i \mid b_i \neq 0\}$. It is clear that $f_{\tau\cdot\sigma,\chi} = \mid W_{\tau\cdot\sigma} \cap W_\chi \mid$, where $W_{\tau\cdot\sigma} = \{i \mid a_{\tau^{-1}(i)} \neq 0\} = \tau W_\sigma$. $f_{\tau\cdot\sigma,\chi}$ is written as $f_{\tau\cdot\sigma}$ in short. Note that $\mathbb{S}_n$ acts on $\hat{A}$ as follows: for any $\chi \in \hat{A}$ with $\chi = \chi_2^{b_1}\otimes\chi_2^{b_2}\otimes\cdots\chi_2^{b_n}$ and $h \in \mathbb{S}_n$, define

$$h\cdot\chi := (\chi_2^{b_{h^{-1}(1)}}, \chi_2^{b_{h^{-1}(2)}}, \cdots, \chi_2^{b_{h^{-1}(n)}}).$$

Lemma 2.2. *Under the notations above, we have*

(i) $\rho(\sigma) = q_{\sigma,\sigma}\mathrm{id}$ *with* $q_{\sigma,\sigma} = (-1)^{f_\sigma}$.

(ii) *If* $W_\sigma = W_\chi$, *then* $(\mathbb{S}_n^\sigma)_\chi = \mathbb{S}_n^\sigma$.

(iii) *If* $W_\sigma = W_\chi$, *then* $f_{g\cdot\sigma} = f_{g^{-1}\cdot\sigma}$ *for any* $g \in \mathbb{S}_n$.

Proof. Let P and V be the representation spaces of χ and μ, respectively. Then the representation space of ρ is $kG^\sigma \otimes_{k(G^\sigma)_\chi} (P\otimes V)$. For any $p\in P$, $v \in V$ and $\tau\in\mathbb{S}_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho(\tau\cdot\sigma)(1\otimes_{k(G^\sigma)_\chi}(p\otimes v)) = 1\otimes_{k(G^\sigma)_\chi}(((\tau\cdot\sigma)\cdot p)\otimes x) \\ = \ & \chi(\tau\cdot\sigma)1\otimes_{k(G^\sigma)_\chi}(p\otimes x) = (-1)^{f_{\tau\cdot\sigma}}1\otimes_{k(G^\sigma)_\chi}(p\otimes x). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

(i) It follows from (2.2) that $q_{\sigma,\sigma} = \chi(\sigma) = (-1)^{f_\sigma}$.

(ii) If $\tau\in\mathbb{S}_n^\sigma$, then $\tau(W_\sigma) = W_\sigma$, i.e. $\tau(W_\chi) = W_\chi$. Consequently, $\tau \in (\mathbb{S}_n^\sigma)_\chi$.

(iii) Let $g(W_\sigma)\cap W_\sigma = \{l_1, l_2, \cdots, l_r\}$ with $g(m_i) = l_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then $g^{-1}(l_i) = m_i$ for $1\leq i\leq r$ and $g^{-1}(W_\sigma)\cap W_\sigma = \{m_1, m_2, \cdots, m_r\}$. This implies $f_{g\cdot\sigma} = f_{g^{-1}\cdot\sigma}$. □

Theorem 2.3. *Let* $G = A\rtimes\mathbb{S}_n$ *with* $\sigma\in A$ *and* $n > 2$. *Then* $\dim \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G, \rho) < \infty$ *if and only if* f_σ *is odd and either* $W_\chi = W_\sigma$ *or* $|W_\sigma| = n$ *or* $|W_\chi| = n$.

Proof. Let P and V be the representation spaces of χ and μ, respectively. Thus the representation space of ρ is $kG^\sigma\otimes_{k(G^\sigma)_\chi}(P\otimes V)$. Let $\xi = 1\otimes_{k(G^\sigma)_\chi}(p_0\otimes v_0)$ with $0\neq p_0\in P$ and $0\neq v_0 \in V$.

We show this by following seven steps.

(i) If f_σ is even, then $\rho(\sigma) = (-1)^{f_\sigma}\mathrm{id} = \mathrm{id}$ by Lemma 2.2 (see also [AZ07, Remark 1.1]) and $\dim\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G,\rho) = \infty$.

From now on we assume that f_σ is odd.

(ii) Assume that $i_0\in W_\chi\cap W_\sigma$, $i_1\in W_\sigma\setminus W_\chi$ and $i_2\notin W_\sigma$. Let $h_1 = 1$, $h_2 = (i_0, i_1, i_2)$, $h_3 = h_2^{-1}$, $t_i = h_i\cdot\sigma$ and $\gamma_{ij} = h_j^{-1}h_i\cdot\sigma$ for $i,j = 1,2,3$. By simple computation we have $\gamma_{12} = h_2^{-1}\cdot\sigma$, $\gamma_{21} = h_2\cdot\sigma$, $\gamma_{13} = h_2\cdot\sigma$, $\gamma_{31} = h_2^{-1}\cdot\sigma$, $\gamma_{23} = h_2^{-1}\cdot\sigma$, and $\gamma_{32} = h_2\cdot\sigma$.

Since $(h_2 \cdot \sigma)_{i_0} = g_2^0$, i_0-th component of $h_2 \cdot \sigma$. $(h_2 \cdot \sigma)_{i_1} = g_2^1$, $(h_2^{-1} \cdot \sigma)_{i_0} = g_2^1$, and $(h_2^{-1} \cdot \sigma)_{i_1} = g_2^0$, we have that σ, $h_2 \cdot \sigma$ and $h_2^{-1} \cdot \sigma$ are not the same. See

$$\begin{aligned} f_{h_2 \cdot \sigma} + f_{h_2^{-1} \cdot \sigma} &\equiv (a_{h_2^{-1}(i_0)} + a_{h_2(i_0)})b_{i_0} + (a_{h_2^{-1}(i_1)} + a_{h_2(i_1)})b_{i_1} + (a_{h_2^{-1}(i_2)} + a_{h_2(i_2)})b_{i_2} \\ &\equiv (a_{i_2} + a_{i_1})b_{i_0} + (a_{i_1} + a_{i_0})b_{i_2} \equiv 1 \pmod 2. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $f_{h_j^{-1}h_i \cdot \sigma} + f_{h_i^{-1}h_j \cdot \sigma} \equiv 1 \ (\mod \ 2)$ for $i \neq j$. Let $B(h_i\xi \otimes h_j\xi) = q_{ij}(h_j\xi \otimes h_i\xi)$, where B is the braiding of the Cartan type. Then $q_{ij} = (-1)^{f_{h_j^1 h_i \cdot \sigma}}$ by (2.2) and $q_{ij}q_{ji} = -1 = q_{ii}^{a_{ij}}$ with $a_{ij} = -1$ when $i \neq j$. The braided subspace spanned by $\{h_i\xi \mid i = 1, 2, 3\}$ is not of finite Cartan type. Consequently, dim $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G, \rho) = \infty$ by [He06b, Theorem 4].

(iii) Assume that $i_0 \in W_\chi \cap W_\sigma$, $i_1 \in W_\chi \setminus W_\sigma$ and $i_2 \notin W_\chi$. Let $h_1 = 1$, $h_2 = (i_0, i_1, i_2)$, $h_3 = h_2^{-1}$, $t_i = h_i \cdot \sigma$ and $\gamma_{ij} = h_j^{-1}h_i \cdot \sigma$ for $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. Since $(h_2 \cdot \sigma)_{i_1} = g_2^1$, $(h_2^{-1} \cdot \sigma)_{i_0} = g_2^0$, $(h_2^{-1} \cdot \sigma)_{i_2} = g_2^1$, and $(h_2 \cdot \sigma)_{i_2} = g_2^0$, we have that σ, $h_2 \cdot \sigma$ and $h_2^{-1} \cdot \sigma$ are not the same. See

$$f_{h_2 \cdot \sigma} + f_{h_2^{-1} \cdot \sigma} \equiv (a_{i_2} + a_{i_1})b_{i_0} + (a_{i_0} + a_{i_2})b_{i_1} \equiv 1 \pmod 2.$$

Consequently, dim $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G, \rho) = \infty$ by the same arguments as in Part (ii).

(iv) If $\mid W_\sigma \mid = n$, then dim $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G, \rho) < \infty$ since it is a central quantum linear space (see [ZZWCY, Proposition 3.15]).

(v) Assume $W_\chi = W_\sigma$. Then $(\mathbb{S}_n^\sigma)_\chi = \mathbb{S}_n^\sigma$ by Lemma 2.2. Let $v_1, v_2, \cdots v_s$ be the basis of V and $\xi_i = 1 \otimes_{k(G^\sigma)_\chi} (p_0 \otimes v_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. $\{h_i\xi_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq s\}$ is a basis of $M(\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G, \rho)$, where $G = \cup_{i=1}^m h_i G^\sigma$ is a left coset decomposition of G^σ with $h_i \in \mathbb{S}_n$. Let $t_i := h_i \cdot \sigma = h_i \sigma h_i^{-1}$ and $\gamma_{ij} := h_j^{-1}h_i \cdot \sigma$. Therefore, $t_i h_j = h_j r_{ij}$ and $B(h_i\xi_u \otimes h_j\xi_v) = \chi(h_j^{-1}h_i \cdot \sigma)(h_j\xi_v \otimes h_i\xi_u)$. By Lemma 2.2 $\chi(h_j^{-1}h_i \cdot \sigma)\chi(h_i^{-1}h_j \cdot \sigma) = 1$ for any $1 \leq i, j \leq m$, $1 \leq u, v \leq s$. Consequently, $M(\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G, \rho)$ is of a finite Cartan type and dim $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G, \rho) < \infty$.

(vi) Assume $\mid W_\chi \cap W_\sigma \mid \geq 1$ with $\mid W_\chi \mid = n$. It is clear $(\mathbb{S}_n^\sigma)_\chi = \mathbb{S}_n^\sigma$. Since f_σ is odd, $f_{\tau \cdot \sigma}$ is odd for any $\tau \in \mathbb{S}_n$. As the proof of (v), dim $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G, \rho) < \infty$.

(vii) If dim $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G, \rho) < \infty$, then f_σ is odd. If $W_\sigma \neq W_\chi$, then $\mid W_\sigma \setminus W_\chi \mid \geq 1$ or $\mid W_\chi \setminus W_\sigma \mid \geq 1$. When $\mid W_\sigma \setminus W_\chi \mid \geq 1$ with $\mid W_\sigma \mid < n$, it is case (ii). When $\mid W_\chi \setminus W_\sigma \mid \geq 1$ and $\mid W_\chi \mid < n$ it is case (iii). When $\mid W_\sigma \mid = n$ it is case (iv). When $\mid W_\chi \cap W_\sigma \mid \geq 1$ with $\mid W_\chi \mid = n$, it is case (vi). □

Corollary 2.4. *Let $G = A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ with $\sigma \in A$ and $n > 2$. Assume $\rho = \theta_{\chi,\mu} = (\chi \otimes \mu) \uparrow_{(G^\sigma)_\chi}^{G^\sigma}$. If* dim $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G, \rho) < \infty$, *then*

$$\mid g(W_\sigma) \cap W_\chi \mid \equiv \mid g^{-1}(W_\sigma) \cap W_\chi \mid \quad (\ mod \ \ 2) \tag{2.3}$$

for any $g \in \mathbb{S}_n$.

Proof. Suppose that there exists $g \in \mathbb{S}_n$ such that (2.3) does not hold. This implies that $f_{g\cdot\sigma,\chi} \equiv f_{g\cdot\sigma,\chi} \pmod 2$ does not hold. By Lemma 2.2, $W_\sigma \neq W_\chi$. Considering dim $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G, \rho) < \infty$ and Theorem 2.3, we have that $\mid W_\chi \mid = n$. See

$$\mid g(W_\sigma) \cap W_\chi \mid = \mid gW_\sigma \mid = \mid g^{-1}W_\sigma \mid = \mid g^{-1}(W_\sigma) \cap W_\chi \mid .$$

This is a contradiction. □

2.2 $\sigma \in \mathbb{A}_n$, alternating group

Theorem 2.5. *Let* $G = A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$. *If* $\sigma \in \mathbb{A}_n$ *with* $n \geq 5$ *and* $n \neq 6$, *then* dim $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G, \rho) = \infty$ *for any* $\rho \in \widehat{G^\sigma}$.

Proof. It follows from [AFGV08, Theorem 1.2] that dim $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}_\sigma^{\mathbb{A}_n}, \rho \mid_{\mathbb{A}_n^\sigma}) = \infty$. Applying [AFGV08, Lemma 3.2], we have dim $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G, \rho) = \infty$. □

2.3 $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n$

We rely on the general theory of representations of $\mathbb{S}_n$ as described in [Su78, Pages 295-299]. Let $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n$ with cycle type $1^{\lambda_1}2^{\lambda_2}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}$. Let $r_j := \sum_{1\leq k\leq j-1} k\lambda_k$ and $\sigma_j := \prod_{1\leq l\leq \lambda_j} \Big(y_{r_j+(l-1)j+1}, \quad y_{r_j+(l-1)j+2}, \quad \cdots, \quad y_{r_j+lj}\Big)$, the multiplication of cycles of length j in the independent cycle decomposition of σ, as well as $Y_j := \{y_s \mid s = r_j + 1, \cdots, r_{j+1}\}$. Therefore $\sigma = \prod \sigma_i$ and $(\mathbb{S}_n)^\sigma = \prod(\mathbb{S}_{Y_i})^{\sigma_i} = T_1 \times \cdots \times T_n$. Obviously, every element in $\widehat{(C_l)^m}$ can be denoted by $\chi_{(t_{1,l},t_{2,l},\cdots,t_{m,l};l)} := \chi_l^{t_{1,l}} \otimes \chi_l^{t_{2,l}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \chi_l^{t_{m,l}}$ for $0 \leq t_{j,l} \leq l-1$.

Proposition 2.6. *Let* $G = A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ *with* $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n$. *Then* $(\mathbb{S}_n)^\sigma_{\chi^{(\nu)}} = (\mathbb{S}_n)^\sigma$ *if and only if* $Y_j \subseteq \{1, 2, \cdots, \nu\}$ *or* $Y_j \subseteq \{\nu+1, \nu+2, \cdots, n\}$ *for* $1 \leq j \leq n$, *where* Y_j *is the same as in the begin of Section 2.*

Proof. If $Y_j \subseteq \{1, 2, \cdots, \nu\}$, then $A_{l,j}, B_{h,j} \in \mathbb{S}_{\{1,2,\cdots,\nu\}}$ for $1 \leq l \leq \lambda_j$ and $1 \leq h \leq \lambda_j - 1$, where $A_{l,j}$, $B_{h,j}$ are the same as in the begin of Section 2. If $Y_j \subseteq \{\nu+1, \nu+2, \cdots, n\}$, then $A_{l,j}, B_{h,j} \in \mathbb{S}_{\{\nu+1,\nu+2,\cdots,n\}}$ for $1 \leq l \leq \lambda_j$ and $1 \leq h \leq \lambda_j - 1$. Consequently, $(\mathbb{S}_n)^\sigma_{\chi^{(\nu)}} = (\mathbb{S}_n)^\sigma$

Conversely, assume $(\mathbb{S}_n)^\sigma_{\chi^{(\nu)}} = (\mathbb{S}_n)^\sigma$. If there exists $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $Y_j \not\subseteq \{1, 2, \cdots, \nu\}$ and $Y_j \not\subseteq \{\nu+1, \nu+2, \cdots, n\}$, then there exist $a, b \in Y_j$ with $a \in \{1, 2, \cdots, \nu\}$ and $b \in \{\nu+1, \nu+2, \cdots, n\}$. Note $Y_j = \{y_s \mid s = r_j + 1, \cdots, r_{j+1}\}$.

If there exists l such that $a, b \in \{y_{r_j+(l-1)j+1}, \quad y_{r_j+(l-1)j+2}, \quad \cdots, \quad y_{r_j+lj}\}$, then $A_{l,j} \notin (\mathbb{S}_n)^\sigma_{\chi^{(\nu)}}$, a contradiction. Thus there exist $l \neq l'$ such that $a \in A_{l,j}$ and $b \in A_{l',j}$. Let $a = y_{r_j+(l-1)j+s}$ and $b = y_{r_j+(l'-1)j+s'}$. Considering $B_{h,j} \in (\mathbb{S}_n)^\sigma_{\chi^{(\nu)}}$, we have $y_{r_j+(l'-1)j+s} \in \{1, 2, \cdots, \nu\}$ which is a contradiction. □

Theorem 2.7. *Let* $G = A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ *with* $n > 2$. *Let* $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n$ *be of type* $(1^{\lambda_1}, 2^{\lambda_2}, \ldots, n^{\lambda_n})$. *Assume that* $\rho = \theta_{\chi,\mu} = (\chi \otimes \mu) \uparrow^{G^\sigma}_{(G^\sigma)_\chi} \in \widehat{A^\sigma \rtimes \mathbb{S}^\sigma_n}$ *with* $\chi = (\chi_2^{b_1} \otimes \cdots \chi_2^{b_n}) \in \widehat{A^\sigma}$ *and* $\mu \in \widehat{(\mathbb{S}^\sigma_n)_\chi}$. *If* $\dim \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}^G_\sigma, \rho) < \infty$, *then some of the following hold with* $Y_i \subseteq W_\chi$ *or with* $Y_i \cap W_\chi = \emptyset$, *where* $\mu = \otimes_{1 \le i \le n} \mu_i$ *with* $\mu_i \in \widehat{\mathbb{S}^{\sigma_i}_{Y_i}}$.

(i) $(1^{\lambda_1}, 2)$, $\mu_1 = \mathrm{sgn}$ *or* ϵ, $\mu_2 = \chi_{(1;2)}$.

(ii) $(2^1, 3^1)$, $\mu_2 = \chi_{(1;2)}$, $\mu_3 = \chi_{(0;3)}$.

(iii) (2^3), $\mu_2 = \chi_{(1,1,1;2)} \otimes \epsilon$ *or* $\chi_{(1,1,1;2)} \otimes \mathrm{sgn}$.

(iv) *(4)*, $\mu_1 = \chi_{(1;4)}$ *or* $\chi_{(3;4)}$.

Proof. Let $\rho \mid_{\mathbb{S}^\sigma_n} = \rho^{(1)} \oplus \rho^{(2)} \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho^{(m)}$ be a decomposition of simple modules of $\rho \mid_{\mathbb{S}^\sigma_n}$. Since dim $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}^G_\sigma, \rho) < \infty$, we have dim $\mathfrak{B}(M(\mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{S}_n}_\sigma, \rho^{(1)}) \oplus M(\mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{S}_n}_\sigma, \rho^{(2)}) \oplus \cdots \oplus M(\mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{S}_n}_\sigma, \rho^{(m)})) < \infty$ by [AFGV08, Lemma 2.2]. Considering [HS08, Corollary 8.4] we have $m = 1$ and $\rho \mid_{\mathbb{S}^\sigma_n}$ is irreducible. Furthermore, $\rho \mid_{\mathbb{S}^\sigma_n}$ is one dimensional by [AFZ, Theorem 1] or [AFGV08, Theorem 1.1]. Since $\deg(\rho) = \deg(\rho \mid_{\mathbb{S}^\sigma_n})$, we have that ρ is one dimensional and $(\mathbb{S}^\sigma_n)_\chi = \mathbb{S}^\sigma_n$ by Lemma 1.13. Consequently, $Y_j \subseteq W_\chi$ or $Y_j \cap W_\chi = \emptyset$ by Proposition 2.6. Consequently, the result follows from [AFGV08, Theorem 1.1] and [AZ07, Table 2]. □

2.4 $\sigma = (\alpha, \tau)$ with $\alpha = (g_2, g_2, \cdots, g_2) \in A$

Lemma 2.8. *Let* $G = A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ *with* $\alpha = (g_2, \cdots, g_2) \in A$ *and let the type of* σ *be* $1^{\lambda_1} 2^{\lambda_2} \cdots n^{\lambda_n}$. *Then*

(i) (α, σ) *and* $(1, \sigma)$ *are conjugate in* G *if and only if* $\lambda_i = 0$ *for any odd* i.

(ii) (a, σ) *and* $(1, \sigma)$ *are conjugate in* G *if and only if every sign cycle (see the Appendix for its definition) of* (a, σ) *is positive.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 6.4 in the Appendix. □

Proposition 2.9. *Let* $G = A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ *with* $n = 4$. *If* $\sigma = (1, 2)(3, 4)$ *and* $a_1 + a_2 \equiv a_3 + a_4 \equiv 0 \pmod 2$ *with* $a = (g_2^{a_1}, g_2^{a_2}, g_2^{a_3}, g_2^{a_4})$, *then* $\dim \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}^G_{(a,\sigma)}, \rho) = \infty$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.8(ii), $\mathcal{O}^G_{(a,\sigma)} = \mathcal{O}^G_{(1,\sigma)}$. It follows from [ZCZ, Proposition 1.5] that there exists $\rho' \in G^\sigma$ such that $M(\mathcal{O}^G_{(a,\sigma)}, \rho) \cong M(\mathcal{O}^G_\sigma, \rho')$ (this is a pull-push isomorphism of YD modules, see [ZZC]). dim $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{S}_4}_\sigma, \rho' \mid_{\mathbb{S}_4}) = \infty$ by [AZ07, Theorem 2.7]. It follows from [AFGV08, Lemma 2.3] or Corollary 3.5 that dim $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}^G_{(a,\sigma)}, \rho) = \infty$. □

Let $G = A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{S}_n$ with $\sigma = (\alpha, \tau) \in G$. It is clear that the following hold:

(i) $G^\sigma = G^\tau = A^\tau \rtimes \mathbb{S}^\tau_n$.

(ii) For any $\rho \in \widehat{G^\sigma}$, there exists $\chi \in \widehat{A^\tau}$ and $\mu \in \widehat{(\mathbb{S}^\tau_n)_\chi}$ such that $\rho = \theta_{\chi,\mu} = (\chi \otimes \mu) \uparrow^{G^\sigma}_{(G^\sigma)_\chi}$.

Proposition 2.10. *Under the notations above we have:*

(i) *If* $\chi(\alpha) = 1$*, then* $\dim \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}^G_{(\alpha,\tau)}, \rho) < \infty$ *if and only if* $\dim \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}^G_{(1,\tau)}, \rho) < \infty$

(ii) *If* $\chi(\alpha) = -1$*, then it is impossible that* $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}^G_{(\alpha,\tau)}, \rho)$ *and* $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}^G_{(1,\tau)}, \rho)$ *are finite dimensional simultaneously.*

Proof. Let $M := M(\mathcal{O}^G_{(\alpha,\tau)}, \rho)$ and $N := M(\mathcal{O}^G_{(1,\tau)}, \rho)$ with $\rho(\alpha, \tau) = q^{(M)}\mathrm{id}$ and $\rho(1, \tau) = q^{(N)}\mathrm{id}$. It is clear that $q^{(M)} = \chi(\alpha)q^{(N)}$. Let V be the representation space of ρ with a basis $x_1, \cdots, x_n$. $\mathcal{O}^G_{(\alpha,\tau)} = \alpha\mathcal{O}^G_{(1,\tau)}$. Assume that $G = \cup_{i=1}^m h_i G^{(\alpha,\tau)}$ is the decomposition of left cosets of $G^{(\alpha,\tau)}$ in G. Then $G = \cup_{i=1}^m h_i G^{(1,\tau)}$ is the decomposition of left cosets of $G^{(1,\tau)}$ in G. It is clear $\mathcal{O}^G_{(1,\tau)} = \{t_i \mid t_i = h_i\tau h_i^{-1}, 1 \le i \le m\}$. See

$$B_M(h_i x_j \otimes h_s x_t) \;\; = \;\; \chi(\alpha) B_N(h_i x_j \otimes h_s x_t) \qquad (2.4)$$

for $1 \le i, s \le m$, $1 \le j, t \le n$, where B_N and B_M denote the braidings of N and M, respectively. Consequently, $M \cong N$ as braided vector spaces when $\chi(\alpha) = 1$, i.e. (i) holds.

For (ii), assume $\chi(\alpha) = -1$. If $\dim \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}^G_{(\alpha,\tau)}, \rho) < \infty$, then $q^{(M)} = -1$ and $q^{(N)} = 1$. Consequently, $\dim \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}^G_{(1,\tau)}, \rho) = \infty$. Conversely, if $\dim \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}^G_{(1,\tau)}, \rho) < \infty$, then $q^{(N)} = -1$ and $q^{(M)} = 1$. Consequently, $\dim \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}^G_{(\alpha,\tau)}, \rho) = \infty$. $\Box$

3 Subgroups

In this section we establish the relationship between Nichols algebras over a group G and a subgroup D of G.

If ρ is a representation of G with representation space M, then M becomes a right kG-module under the module operation $x \cdot g := \rho(g)(x)$ for any $g \in G$, $x \in M$. For convenience, ρ is said to be a kG-module.

The following Lemma will simplify the concept of isomorphisms about RSR in [ZCZ, Definition 1.1].

Proposition 3.1. $\mathrm{RSR}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u) \cong \mathrm{RSR}(G', r', \overrightarrow{\rho}', u')$ *if and only if the following conditions hold:*

(i) There exists a group isomorphism $\phi : G \to G'$*.*

(ii) For any $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$*, there exists an element* $h_C \in G$ *such that* $\phi(h_C^{-1}u(C)h_C) = u'(\phi(C))$*.*

(iii) For any $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$*,* $\rho_C \cong \rho_{\phi(C)}\phi_{h_c}$ *as representations of* $G^{u(C)}$*, where* $\phi_{h_C}(h) = \phi(h_C^{-1}hh_C)$ *for any* $h \in G$*;* $\rho_C := \oplus_{i \in I_C(r,u)}\rho_C^{(i)}$ *and* $\rho'_{\phi(C)} := \oplus_{i \in I_{\phi(C)}(r',u')}\rho'^{(i)}_{\phi(C)}$ *be representations of* $G^{u(C)}$ *and* $G'^{u'(\phi(C))}$*, respectively.*

Proof. It is enough to show that the third conditions in this Lemma and [ZCZ, Definition 1.1] are equivalent. If the third condition in [ZCZ, Definition 1.1] holds, then for any $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$, there exists a bijective map $\phi_C : I_C(r,u) \to I_{\phi(C)}(r',u')$ such that $\rho_C^{(i)} \cong {\rho'}_{\phi(C)}^{(\phi_C(i))}\phi_{h_c}$ as representations of $G^{u(C)}$ for all $i \in I_C(r,u)$. It is clear that $\rho_C \cong \rho_{\phi(C)}\phi_{h_c}$ as representations of $kG^{u(C)}$ for any $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$. Conversely, if the third condition in this lemma holds, there exists a bijective map $\phi_C : I_C(r,u) \to I_{\phi(C)}(r',u')$ such that $\rho_C^{(i)} \cong {\rho'}_{\phi(C)}^{(\phi_C(i))}\phi_{h_c}$ as representations of $G^{u(C)}$ for all $i \in I_C(r,u)$. $\square$

Let D be a subgroup of G. In the rest of this section, C denotes the conjugacy class of D, and C_G denotes the conjugacy class of G containing C.

Definition 3.2. *Let r and r' be the ramifications of D and G, respectively.* $\mathrm{RSR}(D, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)$ *is called an almost sub-*RSR *of* $\mathrm{RSR}(G, r', \overrightarrow{\rho'}, u')$, *if $I_C(r,u) = \{(i,j) \mid i \in I_{C_G}(r',u'), j \in \Omega_C(i)\}$ for an index set $\Omega_C(i)$ and $\oplus_{j\in\Omega_C(i)}\rho_C^{(i,j)}$ is isomorphic to a subrepresentation of the restriction of ${\rho'}_{C_G}^{(i)}$ on $D^{u(C)}$ with $u(C) = u'(C_G)$ for any $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(D)$, $i \in I_{C_G}(r',u')$.*

Obviously, if $\mid \Omega_C(i) \mid = 1$ for any $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(D)$, $i \in I_{C_G}(r',u')$, then $\mathrm{RSR}(D, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)$ is a sub-RSR of $\mathrm{RSR}(G, r', \overrightarrow{\rho'}, u')$.

Proposition 3.3. *If* $\mathrm{RSR}(D, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)$ *is an almost sub-*RSR *of* $\mathrm{RSR}(G, r', \overrightarrow{\rho'}, u')$, *then*

(i) *$kQ^c(D, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)$ is a Hopf subalgebra $kQ'^c(G, r', \overrightarrow{\rho'}, u')$.*

(ii) *$kD[kQ^c, D, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u]$ is a Hopf subalgebra $kG[kQ'^c, G, r', \overrightarrow{\rho'}, u']$.*

(iii) *If $\mathfrak{B}(G, r', \overrightarrow{\rho'}, u')$ is finite dimensional with finite group G then so is $\mathfrak{B}(D, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)$.*

Proof. (i) For any $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(D)$ and $i \in I_{C_G}(r',u')$, let $X_C^{(i,j)}$ be the representation space of $\rho_C^{(i,j)}$ with a basis $\{x_C^{(i,j,s)} \mid s \in J_C(i,j)\}$ and let ${X'}_{C_G}^{(i)}$ be the representation space of ${\rho'}_{C_G}^{(i)}$ with a basis $\{{x'}_{C_G}^{(i,s)} \mid s \in J_{C_G}(i)\}$. $J_C(i,j)$ are pairwise disjoint subsets of an index set $J_{C_G}(i)$, i.e. $\cup_{j\in\Omega_C(i)}J_C(i,j) \subseteq J_{C_G}(i)$. Let $\psi_C^{(i)}$ is a $kD^{u(C)}$-module monomorphism from $\oplus_{j\in\Omega_C(i)}X_C^{(i,j)}$ to ${X'}_{C_G}^{(i)}$ with ${x'}_{C_G}^{(i,s)} = \psi_C^{(i)}(x_C^{(i,j,s)})$ for $i \in I_{C_G}(r',u')$, $s \in \cup_{j\in\Omega_C(i)}J_C(i,j)$.

Let ϕ be an inclusion map from kD to kG and ψ be a map from $kQ_1^c(D, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)$ to $k{Q'}_1^c(G, r', \overrightarrow{\rho'}, u')$ by sending $a_{y,x}^{(i,j,s)}$ to ${a'}_{y,x}^{(i,s)}$ for any $y, x \in D$, $i \in I_{C_G}(r',u')$, $j \in \Omega_C(i)$, $s \in J_C(i,j)$ with $x^{-1}y \in C \in \mathcal{K}_r(D)$. Now we show that ψ is a kD-bimodule homomorphism from kQ_1^c to ${}_\phi(k{Q'}_1^c)_\phi$ and a kG-bicomodule homomorphism from ${}^\phi(kQ_1^c)^\phi$ to $k{Q'}_1^c$. We only show this for right modules since the others are trivial. For any $h \in D$, $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(D)$, $i \in I_{C_G}(r',u')$, $j \in \Omega_C(i)$, $s \in J_C(i,j)$, $x, y \in D$ with $x^{-1}y = g_\theta^{-1}u(C)g_\theta$ and $g_\theta h = \zeta_\theta(h)g_{\theta'}$, $\zeta_\theta(h) \in D^\sigma$, $\theta, \theta' \in \Theta_C \subseteq \Theta_{C_G}$, where Θ_C, Θ_{C_G} are index sets in the right coset decompositions of D, G, respectively (see Lemma 1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(a_{y,x}^{(i,j,s)} \cdot h) &= \psi(\sum_{t\in J_C(i,j)} k_{C,h}^{(i,j,s,t)} a_{yh,xh}^{(i,j,t)}) \quad \text{(by [ZCZ, Proposition 1.3])}\\
&= \sum_{t\in J_C(i,j)} k_{C,h}^{(i,j,s,t)} {a'}_{yh,xh}^{(i,t)} \quad \text{and}\\
\psi(a_{y,x}^{(i,j,s)}) \cdot h &= {a'}_{y,x}^{(i,s)} \cdot h\\
&= \sum_{t\in J_{C_G}(i)} k_{C_G,h}^{(i,s,t)} {a'}_{yh,xh}^{(i,t)} \quad \text{(by [ZCZ, Proposition 1.3]),}
\end{aligned}$$

where $x_C^{(i,j,s)} \cdot \zeta_\theta(h) = \sum_{t\in J_C(i,j)} k_{C,h}^{(i,j,s,t)} x_C^{(i,j,t)}$, $x'^{(i,s)}_{C_G} \cdot \zeta_\theta(h) = \sum_{t\in J_{C_G}(i)} k_{C_G,h}^{(i,s,t)} x'^{(i,t)}_{C_G}$ with $k_{C,h}^{(i,j,s,t)}$, $k_{C_G,h}^{(i,s,t)} \in k$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} x'^{(i,s)}_{C_G} \cdot \zeta_\theta(h) &= \psi_C^{(i)}(x_C^{(i,j,s)}) \cdot \zeta_\theta(h) = \psi_C^{(i)}(x_C^{(i,j,s)} \cdot \zeta_\theta(h)) \\ &= \psi_C^{(i)}(\sum_{t\in J_C(i,j)} k_{C,h}^{(i,j,s,t)} x_C^{(i,j,t)}) = \sum_{t\in J_C(i,j)} k_{C,h}^{(i,j,s,t)} x'^{(i,t)}_{C_G}, \end{aligned}$$

we have $k_{C,h}^{(i,j,s,t)} = k_{C_G,h}^{(i,s,t)}$. This implies $\psi(a_{y,x}^{(i,j,s)} \cdot h) = \psi(a_{y,x}^{(i,j,s)}) \cdot h$.

Let $T_L^c(M)$ be a cotensor coalgebra of L-bicomodule M over coalgebra L. By [ZZC, Lemma 1.5], $T_{kG}^c(\phi\pi_0, \psi\pi_1) := \phi\pi_0 + \sum_{n>0} T_n^c(\psi\pi_1)\Delta_{n-1}$ is a graded Hopf algebra map from $T_{kD}^c(kQ_1^c)$ to $T_{kG}^c(kQ_1'^c)$, where Δ_{n-1} denotes a $(n-1)$-fold coproduct. By Lemma 3.4, $(T_{kD}^c(kQ_1^c))_1 = kD + kQ_1^c$. Since the restriction of $T_{kG}^c(\phi\pi_0, \psi\pi_1)$ on $(T_{kD}^c(kQ_1^c))_1$ is $\phi + \psi$, we have that $T_{kG}^c(\phi\pi_0, \psi\pi_1)$ is injective by [Mo93, Theorem 5.3.1].

(ii) It follows from Part (i).

(iii) By [ZCZ, Lemma 2.1], $kD[kQ^c, D, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u] \cong \mathfrak{B}(D, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)\#kD$ and $kG[kQ'^c, G, r', \overrightarrow{\rho}', u'] \cong \mathfrak{B}(G, r', \overrightarrow{\rho}', u')\#kG$. Applying Part (ii) we complete the proof. $\Box$

Assume that V is the representation space of left representation ρ with $\rho(g)(v) = g \cdot v$ for any $g \in G, v \in V$. We can obtain a right representation $\widetilde{\rho}$ as follows:

$$(v)(h)\widetilde{\rho} = \rho(h^{-1})(v) \tag{3.1}$$

for any $h \in G$, $v \in V$.

Every arrow YD module $(kQ_1^1, \mathrm{ad}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u))$ has a decomposition of simple YD modules:

$$(kQ_1^1, \mathrm{ad}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)) = \oplus_{C\in\mathcal{K}_r(G), i\in I_C(r,u)} kQ_1^1(G, \mathcal{O}_{u(C)}, \rho_C^{(i)}), \tag{3.2}$$

where $kQ_1^1(G, \mathcal{O}_{u(C)}, \rho_C^{(i)}) := k\{a_{x,1}^{(i,j)} \mid x \in \mathcal{O}_{u(C)}, i \in I_C(r,u), j \in J_C(i)\}$.

Lemma 3.4. *Assume that* $M = M(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_1}^G, \rho^{(1)}) \oplus M(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_2}^G, \rho^{(2)}) \cdots \oplus M(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_m}^G, \rho^{(m)})$ *is a* YD *module over kG. Then there exists an* RSR$(G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)$ *such that* $(kQ_1^1, \mathrm{ad}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)) \cong M$ *as* YD *modules.*

Proof. Define $i \sim j$ if and only if σ_i and σ_j are conjugate to each other in G. It is clear that " $\sim$ " is an equivalence relation of $\Phi := \{1, 2, \cdots m\}$. Let $\Phi/\sim$ be representatives of the equivalence classes, which we denote by $[i]$ $(i \in \Phi)$ as usual. Set $r_{\mathcal{C}_i} :=| [i] |$, $r = \sum_{i\in\Phi/\sim} r_{\mathcal{C}_i}\mathcal{C}_i$, where $\mathcal{C}_i = \mathcal{O}_{\sigma_i}^G$; $\mathcal{K}_r(G) := \{\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_i}^G \mid i \in \Phi/\sim\}$, $u(\mathcal{C}_i) = \sigma_i$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{C}_i}^{(j)} = \widetilde{\rho^{(j)}}$ as in (3.1) for any $i \in \Phi/\sim$ and $j \in [i]$, where $[i] := \{j \in \Phi, j \sim i\}$ with $I_{\mathcal{C}_i}(r, u) := [i]$. By [ZZWCY, Lemma 1.1], $kQ_1^1(G, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_i}, \rho_{\mathcal{C}_i}^{(j)}) \cong M(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_j}^G, \rho^{(j)})$ for any $i \in \Phi/\sim$, $j \in [i]$. Consequently, $(kQ_1^1, \mathrm{ad}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)) \cong M$. $\Box$

Corollary 3.5. *Let σ_1, σ_2, $\cdots$, σ_m be pairwise non-conjugate elements. Assume that $M' := M(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_1}^G, \rho'^{(1)}) \oplus M(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_2}^G, \rho'^{(2)}) \cdots \oplus M(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_m}^G, \rho'^{(m)})$ and $M := \oplus_{i=1}^m \oplus_{j=1}^{n_i} M(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_i}^D, \rho^{(i,j)})$ be* YD *modules over kG and over kD with $\sigma_i \in D$ for $1 \le i \le m$, respectively. If $\oplus_{j=1}^{n_i} \rho^{(i,j)}$ is isomorphic to a subrepresentation of the restriction of $\rho'^{(i)}$ on D^{σ_i} for any $1 \le i \le m$, and* $\dim \mathfrak{B}(M) = \infty$, *then* $\dim \mathfrak{B}(M') = \infty$.

Proof. We first construct $\mathrm{RSR}(D, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)$ and $\mathrm{RSR}(G, r', \overrightarrow{\rho'}, u')$. For $1 \le i \le m$ and $1 \le j \le n_i$, set $\mathcal{C}_i := \mathcal{O}_{\sigma_i}^D$, $r_{\mathcal{C}_i} = n_i$ and $u(\mathcal{C}_i) = \sigma_i$. Define a ramification data $r = \sum_{i=1}^m r_{\mathcal{C}_i} \mathcal{C}_i$ of D, $\rho_{\mathcal{C}_i}^{(i,j)} := \widetilde{\rho^{(i,j)}}$ as (3.1), $I_{\mathcal{C}_i}(r, u) := \{(i,j) \mid 1 \le j \le n_i\}$. Let $\mathcal{C}_i' = \mathcal{O}_{\sigma_i}^G$, $r'_{\mathcal{C}_i'} = 1$ and $r' = \sum_{i=1}^m r'_{\mathcal{C}_i'} \mathcal{C}_i'$. Define $\rho'^{(i)}_{\mathcal{C}_i'} := \widetilde{\rho'^{(i)}}$ and $u'(\mathcal{C}_i') = \sigma_i$ with $I_{\mathcal{C}_i'}(r', u') = 1$. It is clear that $\mathrm{RSR}(D, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)$ is an almost sub-RSR of $\mathrm{RSR}(G, r', \overrightarrow{\rho'}, u')$. By the proof of Lemma 3.4 $(kQ_1^1, \mathrm{ad}(D, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)) \cong M$ and $(kQ_1^1, \mathrm{ad}(G, r', \overrightarrow{\rho'}, u')) \cong M'$. It follows from Proposition 3.3(iii) that $\dim \mathfrak{B}(G, r' \overrightarrow{\rho'}, u') = \infty$ and $\dim \mathfrak{B}(M') = \infty$. $\Box$

Remark 3.6. *Corollary 3.5 generalizes Proposition 1.6(iii). That is, if $\rho \in \widehat{G^\sigma}$ and $\rho \mid_{D^\sigma} = \mu^{(1)} \oplus \mu^{(2)} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mu^{(m)}$ is a decomposition of simple modules with* $\dim(\mathfrak{B}(M(\mathcal{O}_\sigma^D, \mu^{(1)}) \oplus M(\mathcal{O}_\sigma^D, \mu^{(2)}) \cdots \oplus M(\mathcal{O}_\sigma^D, \mu^{(m)})) = \infty$, *then* $\dim \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G, \rho) = \infty$.

Let D be a non-empty subset of G. If $M = M(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_1}^G, \rho^{(1)}) \oplus M(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_2}^G, \rho^{(2)}) \cdots \oplus M(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_m}^G, \rho^{(m)})$ is a YD module over G with $D \cap \mathcal{O}_{\sigma_i}^G \neq \emptyset$ for $1 \le i \le m$, then M is said to be supported by D. In particular, if $\sigma_i = \sigma$ for $1 \le i \le m$, then M is said to be supported by σ. $\mathrm{RSR}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)$ is called to be supported by D if $D \cap C \neq \emptyset$ for any $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$. In fact, arrow YD module $(kQ_1^1, \mathrm{ad}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u))$ has a decomposition of simple YD modules:

$$(kQ_1^1, \mathrm{ad}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)) = \oplus_{C \in \mathcal{K}_r(G), i \in I_C(r,u)} kQ_1^1(G, \mathcal{O}_{u(C)}, \rho_C^{(i)}). \tag{3.3}$$

Thus $\mathrm{RSR}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)$ is supported by D if and only if so is $(kQ_1^1, \mathrm{ad}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u))$.

The following Corollary is well-known.

Corollary 3.7. *Let D be a subgroup of G with $S \subseteq D$.*

(i) *If Nichols algebras of all reducible* YD *modules over D supported by S are infinite dimensional, then so are Nichols algebras of all reducible* YD *modules over G supported by S.*

(ii) *If Nichols algebras of all irreducible* YD *modules over D supported by S are infinite dimensional, then so are Nichols algebras of all* YD *modules over G supported by S.*

3.1 Central quantum linear space

A central quantum linear space is a finite dimensional Nichols algebra, which was introduced in [ZZWCY, Def. 2.12]. $\mathrm{RSR}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)$ is said to be a *central quantum linear type* if it is quantum symmetric and of the non-essentially infinite type with $C \subseteq Z(G)$ for any $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$. In this case, $\mathfrak{B}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)$ is called a *central quantum linear space* over G.

We give the other main result.

Theorem 3.8. $\mathfrak{B}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u)$ *is a central quantum linear space over classical Weyl group* G *if and only if* $C = \{(g_2, \cdots, g_2)\} \subseteq G$, $r = r_C C$, $\rho_C^{(i)} = \theta_{\chi_C^{(i)}, \mu_C^{(i)}} := (\chi_C^{(i)} \otimes \mu_C^{(i)}) \uparrow_{G^{u(C)}_{\chi_C^{(i)}}}^{G^{u(C)}} \in \widehat{G^{u(C)}}$ *with* $\chi_C^{(i)} \in \{\chi_2^{\delta_1^{(i)}} \otimes \chi_2^{\delta_2^{(i)}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \chi_2^{\delta_n^{(i)}} \mid \delta_1^{(i)} + \delta_2^{(i)} + \cdots + \delta_n^{(i)}$ *is odd* $\}$ *for any* $i \in I_C(r, u)$.

Proof. It is clear $\theta_{\chi_C^{(i)}, \rho_C^{(i)}}((g_2, \cdots, g_2)) = \chi_2(g_2)^{\delta_1^{(i)} + \delta_2^{(i)} + \cdots + \delta_n^{(i)}}$ id as in the proof of Lemma 2.1 (ii). Applying [ZZWCY, Remark 3.16], we complete the proof. □

In other words we have

Remark 3.9. *Let* $G = A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$. *Assume that* $\alpha =: (g_2, g_2, \cdots, g_2) \in G$ *and* $M = M(\mathcal{O}_\alpha, \rho^{(1)}) \oplus M(\mathcal{O}_\alpha, \rho^{(2)}) \oplus \cdots \oplus M(\mathcal{O}_\alpha, \rho^{(m)})$ *is a* YD *module over* kG *with* $\rho^{(i)} = \theta_{\chi^{(i)}, \mu^{(i)}} := (\chi^{(i)} \otimes \mu^{(i)}) \uparrow_{G^a_{\chi(\nu_i)}}^{G^a} \in \widehat{G^a}$ *for* $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$. *Then* $\mathfrak{B}(M)$ *is finite dimensional if and only if* $\chi^{(i)}(\alpha) = -1$ *for* $1 \leq i \leq m$.

In fact, if there is $1 \leq i \leq m$, such that $\chi^{(i)}(\alpha) = 1$, then $\dim\mathfrak{B}(M) = \infty$ by Proposition 2.10.

4 Nichols algebras of reducible YD modules over classical Weyl groups

In this section we present the necessary and sufficient conditions for Nichols algebras of reducible YD modules supported by one element in A to be finite dimensional. We prove that if M is a reducible YD module over kG supported by $\mathbb{S}_n$ with $n \geq 3$, then $\dim\mathfrak{B}(M) = \infty$ and if M is a YD module over kG supported by $\mathbb{A}_n$ with $n \geq 5$ and $n \neq 6$, then $\dim\mathfrak{B}(M) = \infty$.

$\mathcal{O}_x$ and $\mathcal{O}_y$ are said to be commutative if $st = ts$ for any $s \in \mathcal{O}_x$, $t \in \mathcal{O}_y$.

Proposition 4.1. *If* M *is a reducible* YD *module over* $\mathbb{A}_4$ *or over* $\mathbb{A}_6$, *then* $\dim\mathfrak{B}(M) = \infty$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}(G) := \{\mathcal{O}_s^G \mid s \in G$, there exists an irreducible representation ρ of G^s such that $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}_s, \rho) < \infty\}$.

(i) Let $G := \mathbb{A}_4$. It is clear that $s_1 := (1)$, $s_2 := (1,2)(3,4)$, $s_3 := (1,2,3)$ and $s_4 := (1,2,4)$ are the representative system of the conjugacy classes of $\mathbb{A}_4$. By [AF07, Proposition 2.4], $\mathcal{O}_{s_2} \notin \mathcal{F}(G)$. It is clear that $s_2 s_3 \neq s_3 s_2$, $s_2 s_4 \neq s_4 s_2$, $s_3(s_2 s_3 s_2^{-1}) \neq (s_2 s_3 s_2^{-1}) s_3$, $s_4(s_2 s_4 s_2^{-1}) \neq (s_2 s_4 s_2^{-1}) s_4$, $s_4(s_4 s_3 s_4^{-1}) \neq (s_4 s_3 s_4^{-1}) s_4$. Therefore, $\mathcal{O}_{s_i}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{s_j}$ are not commutative for any $(i,j) \neq (2,2)$, $1 < i, j \leq 4$. It follows immediately that $\dim\mathfrak{B}(M) = \infty$ from [HS08, Theorem 8.2].

(ii) Let $G := \mathbb{A}_6$. If $\mathcal{O}_s \neq \mathcal{O}_{(12)(3456)}$, then $\dim \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}_s, \rho) = \infty$ by [AF07, Theorem 2.7]. However, $\mathcal{O}_{(12)(3456)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{(12)(3456)}$ are not commutative since $(12)(34)(12)(3456)(12)(34) = (12)(4356)$ and $(12)(4356)(12)(3456) \neq (12)(3456)(12)(4356)$. It follows immediately that $\dim \mathfrak{B}(M) = \infty$ from [HS08, Theorem 8.2]. □

Theorem 4.2. *Let* $G = A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$.

(i) *If* M *is a reducible* YD *module over* kG *supported by* $\mathbb{S}_n$ *with* $n \geq 3$, *then* $\dim \mathfrak{B}(M) = \infty$.

(ii) *If* M *is a* YD *module over* kG *supported by* $\mathbb{A}_n$ *with* $n \geq 5$ *and* $n \neq 6$, *then* $\dim \mathfrak{B}(M) = \infty$.

(iii) *If* M *is a reducible* YD *module over* kG *supported by* $\mathbb{A}_n$ *with* $n \geq 4$, *then* $\dim \mathfrak{B}(M) = \infty$.

Proof. (i) It follows from [HS08, Corollary 8.4] and Corollary 3.7.

(ii) It follows from [AFGV08, Theorem 1.1] and Corollary 3.7.

(iii) It follows from Proposition 4.1 and Corollary 3.7. □

Theorem 4.3. *Let* $G = A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ *with* $\sigma \in A$ *and* $n > 2$. *Let* $M = M(\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G, \rho^{(1)}) \oplus M(\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G, \rho^{(2)}) \oplus \cdots \oplus M(\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G, \rho^{(r)})$ *with* $\rho^{(i)} = \theta_{\chi, \mu^{(i)}} = (\chi \otimes \mu^{(i)}) \uparrow_{G_\chi^{\sigma_i}}^{G^{\sigma_i}}$ *with* $\chi \in \hat{A}$ *for* $1 \leq i \leq r$. *Then* $\dim \mathfrak{B}(M) < \infty$ *if and only if* $\dim \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G, \rho^{(i)}) < \infty$ *for* $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Proof. The necessity. It is clear. The sufficiency. By Theorem 2.3, f_σ is odd and either $W_\chi = W_\sigma$ or $|W_\sigma| = n$ or $|W_\chi| = n$. If f_σ is odd and $|W_\sigma| = n$, then it follows from [ZZWCY, Proposition 3.15] that $\dim \mathfrak{B}(M) < \infty$. If f_σ is odd and $|W_\sigma| < n$, then $(\mathbb{S}_n^\sigma)_{\chi^{(i)}} = \mathbb{S}_n^\sigma$. Let $P^{(i)}$ and $V^{(i)}$ are representation spaces of $\chi^{(i)}$ and $\mu^{(i)}$. Let $v_1^{(i)}, v_2^{(i)}, \cdots v_{n_i}^{(i)}$ be the basis of $V^{(i)}$, $0 \neq p_0^{(i)} \in P^{(i)}$ and $\xi_j^{(i)} = 1 \otimes_{k(G^\sigma)_{\chi^{(i)}}} (p_0^{(i)} \otimes v_j^{(i)})$ for $1 \leq j \leq n_i$ with $n_i = \deg(\mu^{(i)})$. It is clear that $\{h_i \xi_j^{(\nu)} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n_\nu, 1 \leq \nu \leq r\}$ is a basis of M, where $G = \cup_{i=1}^m h_i G^\sigma$ is left coset decomposition of G^σ with $h_i \in \mathbb{S}_n$. Let $t_i := h_i \cdot \sigma = h_i \sigma h_i^{-1}$ and $\gamma_{ij} := h_j^{-1} h_i \cdot \sigma$. Therefore, $t_i h_j = h_j r_{ij}$ and $B(h_i \xi_u^{(\nu)} \otimes h_j \xi_v^{(\nu')}) = \chi(\gamma_{ij})(h_j \xi_v^{(\nu')} \otimes h_i \xi_u^{(\nu)})$. By Lemma 2.2 $\chi(\gamma_{ij})\chi(\gamma_{ji}) = 1$ for any $1 \leq i, j \leq m$, $1 \leq u, v \leq s$. Consequently, M is of a finite Cartan type and $\dim \mathfrak{B}(M) < \infty$. □

Theorem 4.4. *Let* $G = A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ *and* $M = M(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_1}^G, \rho^{(1)}) \oplus M(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_2}^G, \rho^{(2)}) \oplus \cdots \oplus M(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_r}^G, \rho^{(r)})$ *with* $\rho^{(i)} = \theta_{\chi^{(i)}, \mu^{(i)}} = (\chi^{(i)} \otimes \mu^{(i)}) \uparrow_{G_{\chi^{(i)}}^{\sigma_i}}^{G^{\sigma_i}}$, $\chi^{(i)} \in \hat{A}$, $\sigma_i \in A$ *and* $n > 2$ *for* $1 \leq i \leq r$. *If* $\dim \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_i}^G, \rho^{(i)}) < \infty$ *and*

$$| \, g(W_{\sigma_i}) \cap W_{\chi^{(j)}} \, | \equiv | \, g^{-1}(W_{\sigma_j}) \cap W_{\chi^{(i)}} \, | \quad (\quad mod \;\; 2) \tag{4.1}$$

for any $g \in \mathbb{S}_n$, $1 \leq i, j \leq r$, *then* $\dim \mathfrak{B}(M) < \infty$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.3, $f_{\sigma_i, \chi^{(i)}}$ is odd and either $W_{\chi^{(i)}} = W_{\sigma_i}$ or $|W_{\sigma_i}| = n$ or $|W_{\chi^{(i)}}| = n$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$.

If f_{σ_i} is odd and $|W_{\sigma_i}| < n$ for any $1 \le i \le r$, then it follows from Lemma 2.2 that $(\mathbb{S}_n^{\sigma_i})_{\chi^{(i)}} = \mathbb{S}_n^{\sigma_i}$. Consequently $\mu^{(i)} \in \widehat{\mathbb{S}_n^{\sigma_i}}$ for $1 \le i \le r$. Let $P^{(i)}$ and $V^{(i)}$ are representation spaces of $\chi^{(i)}$ and $\mu^{(i)}$. Let $v_1^{(i)}, v_2^{(i)}, \cdots v_{n_i}^{(i)}$ be the basis of $V^{(i)}$, $0 \ne p_0^{(i)} \in P^{(i)}$ and $\xi_j^{(i)} = 1 \otimes_{k(G^\sigma)_{\chi^{(i)}}} (p_0^{(i)} \otimes v_j^{(i)})$ for $1 \le j \le n_i$ with $n_i = \deg(\mu^{(i)})$. It is clear that $\{h_i^{(\nu)}\xi_j^{(\nu)} \mid 1 \le i \le m_\nu, 1 \le j \le n_\nu, 1 \le \nu \le r\}$ is a basis of M, where $G = \cup_{i=1}^{m_\nu} h_i^{(\nu)} G^{\sigma_\nu}$ is left coset decomposition of G^{σ_ν} with $h_i^{(\nu)} \in \mathbb{S}_n$. Let $t_i^{(\nu)} := h_i^{(\nu)} \cdot \sigma_\nu = h_i^{(\nu)}\sigma_\nu(h_i^{(\nu)})^{-1}$ and $\gamma_{ij}^{(u,v)} := (h_j^{(v)})^{-1}h_i^{(u)} \cdot \sigma_u$. Therefore, $t_i^{(v)}h_j^{(u)} = h_j^{(u)}r_{ij}^{(v,u)}$ and $B(h_i^{(u)}\xi_s^{(u)} \otimes h_j^{(v)}\xi_t^{(v)}) = \chi^{(v)}(\gamma_{ij}^{(u,v)})(h_j^{(v)}\xi_t^{(v)} \otimes h_i^{(u)}\xi_s^{(u)})$. By condition (4.1), $\chi^{(v)}(\gamma_{ij}^{(u,v)})\chi^{(u)}(\gamma_{ji}^{(v,u)}) = 1$ for any $1 \le i \le m_u$, $1 \le j \le m_v$, $1 \le u, v \le r$. Consequently, M is of a finite Cartan type and dim $\mathfrak{B}(M) < \infty$.

Assume that f_{σ_i} is odd for any $1 \le i \le r$ and there exists i_0 such that $|W_{\sigma_{i_0}}| = n$. We can assume that $|W_{\sigma_i}| = n$ for $1 \le i \le p$ and $|W_{\sigma_i}| < n$ for $p+1 \le i \le r$. If $r = p$, then dim $\mathfrak{B}(M) < \infty$ since it is a central quantum linear space (see [ZZWCY]). Now $1 \le p \le r-1$. Let $G^{\sigma_u} = \cup_{i=1}^{l_u} g_i^{(u)}(G^{\sigma_u})_{\chi^{(u)}}$ is a left coset decomposition of $(G^{\sigma_u})_{\chi^{(u)}}$ with $g_i^{(u)} \in \mathbb{S}_n$. Set $\xi_{i,j}^{(u)} := g_i^{(u)} \otimes_{k(G^{\sigma_u})_{\chi^{(u)}}} (p_0^{(u)} \otimes v_j^{(u)})$ for $1 \le u \le p$, $1 \le i \le l_u$, $1 \le j \le n_u$. It is clear that $\{h_s^{(u)}\xi_{i,j}^{(u)} \mid 1 \le s \le m_u,\ 1 \le u \le p,\ 1 \le j \le n_u,\ 1 \le i \le l_u\} \cup \{h_i^{(\nu)}\xi_j^{(\nu)} \mid 1 \le i \le m_\nu,\ 1 \le j \le n_\nu,\ p+1 \le \nu \le r\}$ is a basis of M. Clearly,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} B(h_i^{(v)}\xi_s^{(v)} \otimes h_j^{(u)}\xi_{t,z}^{(u)}) & = & \chi^{(u)}((g_t^{(u)})^{-1}\gamma_{i,j}^{(v,u)}g_t^{(u)})(h_j^{(u)}\xi_{t,z}^{(u)} \otimes h_i^{(v)}\xi_s^{(v)}) \ \text{ and} \\ B(h_j^{(u)}\xi_{t,z}^{(u)} \otimes h_i^{(v)}\xi_s^{(v)}) & = & \chi^{(v)}(\gamma_{j,i}^{(u,v)})(h_i^{(v)}\xi_s^{(v)} \otimes h_j^{(u)}\xi_{t,z}^{(u)}). \end{array}$$

See

$$\begin{aligned} & f_{(h_i^{(v)})^{-1}h_j^{(u)}\cdot\sigma_u,\chi^{(v)}} + f_{(g_t^{(u)})^{-1}(h_j^{(u)})^{-1}h_i^{(v)}\cdot\sigma_v,\chi^{(u)}} \\ & \quad \equiv \mid ((g_t^{(u)})^{-1}(h_j^{(u)})^{-1}h_i^{(v)})^{-1}(W_{\sigma_u}) \cap W_{\chi^{(v)}} \mid \\ & \quad + \mid (g_t^{(u)})^{-1}(h_j^{(u)})^{-1}h_i^{(v)}W_{\sigma_v} \cap W_{\chi^{(u)}} \mid \ \text{ (since } |W_{\sigma_u}| = n) \\ & \quad \equiv 0 \pmod 2 \text{ (by condition (4.1))} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\chi^{(u)}((g_t^{(u)})^{-1}\gamma_{i,j}^{(v,u)}g_t^{(u)})\chi^{(v)}(\gamma_{j,i}^{(u,v)}) \ = \ (-1)^{f_{(h_i^{(v)})^{-1}h_j^{(u)}\cdot\sigma_u,\chi^{(v)}} + f_{(g_t^{(u)})^{-1}(h_j^{(u)})^{-1}h_i^{(v)}\cdot\sigma_v}} = 1$$

for any $1 \le i \le m_v$, $1 \le j \le m_u$, $p+1 \le v \le r$,$1 \le u \le p$, $1 \le s \le n_v$, $1 \le t \le l_u$, $1 \le z \le n_u$. Also see

$$\begin{array}{rcl} B(h_j^{(u)}\xi_{t,z}^{(u)} \otimes h_{j'}^{(u')}\xi_{t',z'}^{(u')}) & = & \chi^{(u')}(\sigma_u)h_{j'}^{(u')}\xi_{t',z'}^{(u')} \otimes h_j^{(u)}\xi_{t,z}^{(u)} \ \text{ and} \\ \chi^{(u')}(\sigma_u)\chi^{(u)}(\sigma_{u'}) & = & (-1)^{f_{\sigma_u,\chi^{(u')}} + f_{\sigma_{u'},\chi^{(u)}}} = 1 \text{ (by condition (4.1)).} \end{array}$$

Consequently, considering the proof of Part (i), we have that M is of a finite Cartan type and dim $\mathfrak{B}(M) < \infty$. □

Corollary 4.5. *Let $G = A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ with $n > 2$ and $M = M(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_1}^G, \rho^{(1)}) \oplus M(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_2}^G, \rho^{(2)}) \oplus \cdots \oplus M(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_r}^G, \rho^{(r)})$ with $\sigma_i \in A$ and* $\dim \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_i}^G, \rho^{(i)}) < \infty$ *for $1 \leq i \leq r$. If for any $u, v \in \{1, 2, \cdots r\}$ with $u \neq v$, one of the following conditions holds, then (4.1) holds and* $\dim \mathfrak{B}(M) < \infty$*:*

(i) *$\sigma_u, \sigma_v \notin Z(G)$ with $|W_{\chi^{(u)}}| < n$ and $|W_{\chi^{(v)}}| < n$.*

(ii) *$|W_{\chi^{(u)}}| = n$ and $|W_{\chi^{(v)}}| = n$.*

(iii) *$|W_{\sigma_u}| = |W_{\sigma_v}| = n$.*

(iv) *$|W_{\chi^{(u)}}| = n$ and $|W_{\sigma_u}| = n$.*

Proof. Considering Theorem 4.4 we only need show that (4.1) holds.

(a). If (i) holds, then $W_{\chi^{(u)}} = W_{\sigma_u}$ and $W_{\chi^{(v)}} = W_{\sigma_v}$ by Theorem 2.3. See

$$\begin{aligned} \mid g(W_{\sigma_u}) \cap W_{\chi^{(v)}} \mid &= \mid g(W_{\sigma_u}) \cap W_{\sigma_v} \mid = \mid g^{-1}(W_{\sigma_v} \cap g(W_{\sigma_u})) \mid \\ &= \mid g^{-1}(W_{\sigma_v}) \cap W_{\chi^{(u)}} \mid . \end{aligned}$$

(b). Obviously, if (ii) or (iii) holds, then (4.1) holds.

(c). Assume that (iv) holds. Considering (b) and (c), we can assume that $|W_{\chi^{(v)}}| < n$ and $|W_{\sigma_v}| < n$. By Theorem 2.3, $W_{\chi^{(v)}} = W_{\sigma_v}$. Thus (4.1) holds. □

Proposition 4.6. *Let $G = A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ with $n > 2$ and $M = M(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_1}^G, \rho^{(1)}) \oplus M(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_2}^G, \rho^{(2)})$ with $\sigma_2 \in A$ and $\sigma_2 \neq \sigma_1 := (g_2, \cdots, g_2)$. If there exists $g \in \mathbb{S}_n$ such that (4.1) does not hold and some of the following hold, then* $\dim \mathfrak{B}(M) = \infty$*:*

(i) $\deg \rho^{(2)} > 3$*.*

(ii) $\deg \mu^{(1)} > 3$*.*

(iii) $\deg \rho^{(2)} \geq 2$ *and* $\deg \mu^{(1)} \geq 2$*.*

Here $\rho^{(i)} = \theta_{\chi^{(i)}, \mu^{(i)}}$ with $\chi^{(i)} \in \hat{A}$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Proof. If f_{σ_i} is even, then $\dim \mathfrak{B}(M) = \infty$. So we assume that f_{σ_i} is odd for $i = 1, 2$. The notations in the proof of Theorem 4.4 will be used in the following. Set $u = 1$ and $v = 2$. We show this by following several steps.

(a). If $g \notin G^{\sigma_u}$, set $g = (g_1^{(u)})^{-1}(h_2^{(u)})^{-1}h_1^{(v)}$ with $h_1^{(v)} = g_1^{(u)} = 1$.

(b). If $g \in G^{\sigma_u}$, set $g = (g_1^{(u)})^{-1}(h_1^{(u)})^{-1}h_1^{(v)}$ with $h_1^{(v)} = g_1^{(u)} = 1$.

(c). We assume that in case (a) $t = 1$, $i = 1$ and $j = 2$; in case (b) $t = 1$, $i = 1$ and $j = 1$. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} B(h_i^{(v)}\xi_s^{(v)} \otimes h_j^{(u)}\xi_{t,z}^{(u)}) &= \chi^{(u)}((g_t^{(u)})^{-1}\gamma_{i,j}^{(v,u)} g_t^{(u)})(h_j^{(u)}\xi_{t,z}^{(u)} \otimes h_i^{(v)}\xi_s^{(v)}), \\ B(h_j^{(u)}\xi_{t,z}^{(u)} \otimes h_i^{(v)}\xi_s^{(v)}) &= \chi^{(v)}(\gamma_{j,i}^{(u,v)})(h_i^{(v)}\xi_s^{(v)} \otimes h_j^{(u)}\xi_{t,z}^{(u)}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\chi^{(u)}((g_t^{(u)})^{-1}\gamma_{i,j}^{(v,u)} g_t^{(u)})\chi^{(v)}(\gamma_{j,i}^{(u,v)}) = (-1)^{f_{(h_i^{(v)})^{-1}h_j^{(u)}\cdot\sigma_u, \chi^{(v)}} + f_{(g_t^{(u)})^{-1}(h_j^{(u)})^{-1}h_i^{(v)}\cdot\sigma_v}} = -1$$

for any $1 \le s \le n_v$, $1 \le z \le n_u$.

We consider the Dynkin diagrams of the braided vector space k-span $\{h_i^{(v)}\xi_s^{(v)}, h_j^{(u)}\xi_{t,z}^{(u)} \mid 1 \le s \le n_v, 1 \le z \le n_u.\}$. It is clear that there exists a line between every element in $\{h_i^{(v)}\xi_s^{(v)}, \mid 1 \le s \le n_v\}$ and every element in $\{h_j^{(u)}\xi_{t,z}^{(u)} \mid 1 \le z \le n_u\}$.

Obviously, the Dynkin diagrams of the braided vector space k-span $\{h_i^{(v)}\xi_s^{(v)}, h_j^{(u)}\xi_{t,z}^{(u)} \mid 1 \le s \le n_v, 1 \le z \le n_u.\}$ are not of finite Cartan types in case (i), (ii), (iii). Consequently, dim $\mathfrak{B}(M) = \infty$ by [He06b, Theorem 4]. □

Lemma 4.7. *Let* $G = A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ *with* $a \in A$, $\sigma = (a, \tau) \in G$. *Then* $\mathcal{O}_a^G$ *and* $\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G$ *are square-commutative if and only if* $a \in Z(G)$ *or* $\tau^2 = 1$

Proof. If $\mathcal{O}_a^G$ and $\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G$ are square-commutative, then $(\mu \cdot a)\sigma(\mu \cdot a)\sigma = \sigma(\mu \cdot a)\sigma(\mu \cdot a)$ for any $\mu \in \mathbb{S}_n$, which is equivalent to $((\mu \cdot a)a(\tau \cdot (\mu \cdot a))(\tau \cdot a), \tau^2) = (a(\tau \cdot (\mu \cdot a))(\tau \cdot a)(\tau^2 \cdot (\mu \cdot a)), \tau^2)$. It also is equivalent to

$$(\mu \cdot a) = \tau^2 \cdot (\mu \cdot a). \tag{4.2}$$

If $\tau^2 \neq 1$ and $a \notin Z(G)$, the center of G, then there exists an independent cycle $(i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_r)^{-1}$ of τ^2 with $r > 1$ and there exists a $\mu \in \mathbb{S}_n$ such that $(\mu \cdot a)_{i_1} = g_2^0$ and $(\mu \cdot a)_{i_2} = g_2^1$. Obviously $(\tau^2 \cdot (\mu \cdot a))_{i_1} = g_2^1$. This implies $\tau^2 \cdot (\mu \cdot a) \neq \mu \cdot a$. Thus $\mathcal{O}_a^G$ and $\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G$ are not square-commutative, which is a contradiction.

Conversely, it is clear when $a \in Z(G)$. Now assume that $a \notin Z(G)$ and $\tau^2 = 1$. For any $\xi \in \mathbb{S}_n$, we have $(\xi\tau\xi^{-1})^2 = 1$. Thus $\mathcal{O}_a^G$ and $\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G$ are square-commutative. □

Lemma 4.8. *Let* $G = A \rtimes D$. *Let* $(a, \sigma), (b, \tau) \in G$ *with* $a, b \in A$, $\sigma, \tau \in D$. *If* $\mathcal{O}_{(a,\sigma)}^G$ *and* $\mathcal{O}_{(b,\tau)}^G$ *are square-commutative then* $\mathcal{O}_\sigma^D$ *and* $\mathcal{O}_\tau^D$ *are square-commutative.*

Proof. It is clear that $(a, \sigma)^{-1} = (\sigma^{-1} \cdot a^{-1}, \sigma^{-1})$ and

$$(b, \tau)(a, \sigma)(b, \tau)^{-1} \;=\; (b(\tau \cdot a)(\tau\sigma\tau^{-1} \cdot b^{-1}), \tau\sigma\tau^{-1}). \tag{4.3}$$

For any $x \in \mathcal{O}_\sigma^D$ and $y \in \mathcal{O}_\tau^D$, by (4.3), there exist $c, d \in A$ such that $(c, x) \in \mathcal{O}_{(a,\sigma)}^G$ and $(d, y) \in \mathcal{O}_{(b,\tau)}^G$. Since $(c, x)(d, y)(c, x)(d, y) = (d, y)(c, x)(d, y)(c, x)$, we have $xyxy = yxyx$, i.e. $\mathcal{O}_\sigma^D$ and $\mathcal{O}_\tau^D$ are square-commutative. □

Lemma 4.9. *Let* $1 \neq \sigma, 1 \neq \tau \in \mathbb{S}_n$ *with* $G = \mathbb{S}_n$ *and* $n > 2$. *If* $\mathcal{O}_\sigma$ *and* $\mathcal{O}_\tau$ *are square-commutative, then one of the following conditions holds.*

(i) $n = 3$, $\mathcal{O}_\sigma = \mathcal{O}_\tau = \mathcal{O}_{(123)}$ *or* $\mathcal{O}_\sigma = \mathcal{O}_{(12)}$ *and* $\mathcal{O}_\tau = \mathcal{O}_{(123)}$.

(ii) $n = 4$, $\mathcal{O}_\sigma = \mathcal{O}_\tau = \mathcal{O}_{(12)(34)}$ *or* $\mathcal{O}_\sigma = \mathcal{O}_{(12)(34)}$ *and* $\mathcal{O}_\tau = \mathcal{O}_{(1234)}$ *or* $\mathcal{O}_\sigma = \mathcal{O}_{(12)}$ *and* $\mathcal{O}_\tau = \mathcal{O}_{(12)(34)}$.

(iii) $n = 2k$ *with* $k > 2$, $\mathcal{O}_\sigma = \mathcal{O}_{(12)}$ *and* $\mathcal{O}_\tau = \mathcal{O}_{(12)(34)\cdots(n-1\ n)}$.

Proof. We show this by following several steps. Assume that $1^{\lambda_1}2^{\lambda_2}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}$ and $1^{\lambda'_1}2^{\lambda'_2}\cdots n^{\lambda'_n}$ are the types of σ and τ, respectively; $\mathcal{O}_\sigma$ and $\mathcal{O}_\tau$ are square-commutative.

(i) Let $n = 3$. Obviously, $\mathcal{O}_{(12)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{(12)}$ are not square-commutative. Then $\mathcal{O}_\sigma = \mathcal{O}_\tau = \mathcal{O}_{(123)}$ or $\mathcal{O}_\sigma = \mathcal{O}_{(12)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_\tau = \mathcal{O}_{(123)}$.

(ii) Let $n = 4$. The types of σ and τ are $2^2; 4^1; 1^13^1; 1^22^1$, respectively.

(a). $\mathcal{O}_{(12)(34)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{(123)}$ are not square-commutative since $(12)(34)(123)(12)(34) = (214)$, which implies $(12)(34)(123)(12)(34) \notin G^{(123)}$.

(b). $\mathcal{O}_{(1234)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{(1234)}$ are not square-commutative since $((1234)(4231))^2$ and $((4231)\ (1234))^2$ maps 1 to 1 and 2, respectively.

(c). $\mathcal{O}_{(1234)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{(123)}$ are not square-commutative since $(1234)(123)(1234)$ maps 1 to 4.

(d). $\mathcal{O}_{(1234)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{(12)}$ are not square-commutative since $(1234)(12)(1234)$ maps 2 to 4.

(e). $\mathcal{O}_{(123)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{(123)}$ are not square-commutative since $(134)(123)(134)$ maps 2 to 4.

(f). $\mathcal{O}_{(123)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{(12)}$ are not square-commutative since $(14)(123)(14) = (423)$.

(g). $\mathcal{O}_{(12)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{(12)}$ are not square-commutative since $(14)(12)(14) = (42)$.

(iii) If $\sigma = (12\cdots r)$ with $n > 4$, then $r = n$ or $\mathcal{O}_\sigma = \mathcal{O}_{(12)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_\tau = \mathcal{O}_{(12)(34)\cdots(n-1\ n)}$ with $n = 2k > 2$. In fact, obviously, G^σ is a cycle group generated by $(12\cdots r)$.

(a). If $\lambda'_3 \neq 0$ and $n - r > 1$, set $t = (\cdots, r, r+1, r+2\cdots)t_1$, an independent decomposition of $t \in \mathcal{O}_\tau$. See $t\sigma t(r) = r + 2$, which implies $t\sigma t \notin G^\sigma$.

(b). If $\lambda'_3 \neq 0$ and $n - r = 1$. Set $t = (1, r, r + 1)t_1$, an independent decomposition of $t \in \mathcal{O}_\tau$. See $t\sigma t(r + 1) = t(2) < r + 1$ since $r \neq 2$, which implies $t\sigma t \notin G^\sigma$.

(c). If there exists $j > 3$ such that $\lambda'_j \neq 0$ with $n > r > 2$, set $t = (\cdots, r-2, r-1, r, r+1, \cdots)t_1$, an independent decomposition of $t \in \mathcal{O}_\tau$. See $t\sigma t(r - 2) = r + 1$, which implies $t\sigma t \notin G^\sigma$.

(d). If there exists $j > 3$ such that $\lambda'_j \neq 0$ with $r = 2$, set $t = (123\cdots)t_1$, an independent decomposition of $t \in \mathcal{O}_\tau$. See $t\sigma t(2) = 4$, which implies $t\sigma t \notin G^\sigma$.

(e). If the type of τ is $1^{\lambda'_1}2^1$ with $n > r$, set $t = (r\ r{+}1) \in \mathcal{O}_\tau$. See $t\sigma t = (1\cdots r\ r{+}1) \notin G^\sigma$.

(f). If the type of τ is $1^{\lambda'_1}2^{\lambda'_2}$ with $n > r > 2$ and $\lambda'_2 > 1$, set $t = (r\ r+1)(12)t_1$, an independent decomposition of $t \in \mathcal{O}_\tau$. See $t\sigma t = (2\ 1\ \cdots r+1) \notin G^\sigma$.

(g). If the type of τ is $1^{\lambda'_1}2^{\lambda'_2}$ with $r = 2$, $\lambda'_2 \geq 1$ and $\lambda'_1 \neq 0$, set $t = (1)(23)t_1$, an independent decomposition of $t \in \mathcal{O}_\tau$. See $t\sigma t = (13) \notin G^\sigma$.

From now on assume that both σ and τ are not cycles.

(iv) If $n > 4$ and $\sigma = (1, 2, \cdots, n)$ is a cycle, then it is a contradiction.

(a). If $\lambda'_2 \neq 0$, set $t = (1, n)(2, 3, \cdots)t_1$, an independent decomposition of $t \in \mathcal{O}_\tau$. See $t\sigma t\sigma(1) = t(4) \neq 1$ and $\sigma t\sigma t(1) = 1$.

(b). If $\lambda'_3 \neq 0$, set $t = (123)t_1$, an independent decomposition of $t \in \mathcal{O}_\tau$. See

$t\sigma t\sigma(1) = t(4)$ and $\sigma t\sigma t(1) = 2$, which implies that $t\sigma t \notin G^\sigma$ since $t(4) > 3$.

(c). If $\lambda'_4 \neq 0$, set $t = (1234)t_1$, an independent decomposition of $t \in \mathcal{O}_\tau$. See $t\sigma t\sigma(1) = 1$ and $\sigma t\sigma t(1) = 5$, which implies $t\sigma t \notin G^\sigma$.

(d). If $n > 5$ and there exists $j > 4$ such that $\lambda'_j \neq 0$, set $t = (12346\cdots)t_1$, an independent decomposition of $t \in \mathcal{O}_\tau$. See $\sigma t\sigma t(1) = 5$ and $t\sigma t\sigma(1) = 6$, which implies that $t\sigma t \notin G^\sigma$.

(e). If $n = 5$ and there exists $j > 4$ such that $\lambda'_j \neq 0$, set $t = (13254) \in \mathcal{O}_\tau$. See $\sigma t\sigma t(1) = 2$ and $t\sigma t\sigma(1) = 3$, which implies that $t\sigma t \notin G^\sigma$.

(v) If $n > 4$ and there exists $r > 1$ such that $\lambda_r > 1$, then $n = \lambda_r r$. Let $\sigma = (1, 2, \cdots, r)(r+1, \cdots, 2r)\cdots((\lambda_r - 1)r + 1, \cdots, \lambda_r r)\sigma_1$, an independent decomposition of σ. Assume $n > \lambda_r r$.

(a) If $r > 2$ and $\lambda'_j = 0$ for any $j > 2$, set $t = (1, n)(2, 3)t_1$, an independent decomposition of $t \in \mathcal{O}_\tau$. See $t\sigma t = (n, 3, a_3, \cdots, a_r)\cdots$, which implies that $t\sigma t \notin G^\sigma$.

(b). If $r = 2$ and $\lambda'_j = 0$ for any $j > 2$, set $t = (1, n)(2, 3)t_1$, an independent decomposition of $t \in \mathcal{O}_\tau$. See $t\sigma t = (n, 3)(2, t(4))\cdots$, which implies that $t\sigma t \notin G^\sigma$ since $t(4) > 2$.

(c). If there exists $j > 2$ such that $\lambda'_j \neq 0$, set $t = (1, a_1, \cdots, a_p, \lambda_r r, \lambda_r r{+}1)(2, 3, \cdots)t_1$, an independent decomposition of $t \in \mathcal{O}_\tau$. See $t\sigma t(\lambda_r r + 1) = t\sigma(1) = 3$, which implies that $t\sigma t \notin G^\sigma$.

(vi) If $n > 4$ and $\lambda_r \leq 1$ for any $r > 1$, then this is a contradiction. Assume that there exist r and r' such that $\lambda_{r'} \neq 0$ and $\lambda_r \neq 0$ with $2 \leq r' < r$. Let $\sigma = (12\cdots r)(r+1\cdots r+r')\sigma_1$ be an independent decomposition of σ.

(a). If $\lambda'_i = 0$ for any $i > 2$, set $t = (1\ n)(23)t_1$, an independent decomposition of $t \in \mathcal{O}_\tau$. See $t\sigma t = (n\ 3\cdots)\cdots$, which implies that $t\sigma t \notin G^\sigma$.

(b). If $r > 3$ and there exists $j > 2$ such that $\lambda'_j \neq 0$, set $t = (1, a_1, \cdots, a_p, r, r+1)(2, 3, \cdots)t_1$, an independent decomposition of $t \in \mathcal{O}_\tau$. See $t\sigma t(r+1) = 3$, which implies that $t\sigma t \notin G^\sigma$.

(c). If $r = 3$ and there exists $j > 2$ such that $\lambda'_j \neq 0$, set $t = (123\cdots)(34\cdots)t_1$, an independent decomposition of $t \in \mathcal{O}_\tau$. See $t\sigma t(1) = 4$, which implies that $t\sigma t \notin G^\sigma$.

(vii) If $n > 4$ and the types of σ and τ are r^{λ_r}, then it is a contradiction. Let $\sigma = (12\cdots r)(r+1\cdots 2r)\cdots$. Set $t = (r+1, 2, \cdots, r)(1, r+2, \cdots, 2r)t_1$, an independent decomposition of $t \in \mathcal{O}_\tau$. See $t\sigma t\sigma(1) = \begin{cases} 5 & \text{when } r = 3 \\ 5 & \text{when } r > 4 \end{cases}$ and $\sigma t\sigma t(1) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{when } r = 3 \\ r+5 & \text{when } r > 4 \end{cases}$. When $r = 4$, set $t = (4231)t_1$, an independent decomposition of $t \in \mathcal{O}_\tau$. $\sigma t\sigma t(1) = 1$ and $t\sigma t\sigma(1) = 2$. When $r = 2$, set $t = (14)(25)(36)t_1$, an independent decomposition of $t \in \mathcal{O}_\tau$. See $\sigma t\sigma t(1) = 5$ and $t\sigma t\sigma(1) = 3$. Then $\sigma t\sigma t \neq t\sigma t\sigma$. □

In fact, $\mathcal{O}_\sigma$ and $\mathcal{O}_\tau$ in cases of Lemma 4.9 (i) (ii) are square- commutative .

Lemma 4.10. *Let $G = A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ with $A \subseteq (C_2)^n$. Then*

(i) *$n = 3$, $\mathcal{O}_{(a,(123))}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{(b,(123))}$ are not square-commutative.*

(ii) *$n = 3$, $\mathcal{O}_{(a,(12))}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{(b,(123))}$ are not square-commutative.*

(iii) *$n = 4$, $\mathcal{O}_{(a,(12)(34))}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{(b,(1234))}$ are not square-commutative.*

(iv) *$n = 2k$ with $k > 1$, $\mathcal{O}_{(a,(12))}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{(b,(12)(34))}$ are not square-commutative.*

(v) *$\mathcal{O}_{(a,\sigma)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{(b,1)}$ are square-commutative if and only if $\sigma^2 = 1$.*

(vi) *If $n = 4$, then $\mathcal{O}_{(a,(12)(34))}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{(b,(12)(34))}$ are square-commutative if and only if the signs of $(a,(12)(34))$ and $(b,(12)(34))$ are the same.*

Proof. For any $(d,\mu) \in G = A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$, let $(c, \mu\sigma\mu^{-1}) := (d,\mu)(a,\sigma)(d,\mu)^{-1}$, i.e. $c = d(\mu \cdot a)(\mu\sigma\mu^{-1} \cdot d)$. It is clear that $((c,\mu\sigma\mu^{-1})(b,\tau))^2 = ((b,\tau)(c,\mu\sigma\mu^{-1}))^2$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
& d(\mu \cdot a)(\mu\sigma\mu^{-1} \cdot d)(\mu\sigma\mu^{-1} \cdot b)(\mu\sigma\mu^{-1}\tau \cdot d) \\
& (\mu\sigma\mu^{-1}\tau\mu \cdot a)(\mu\sigma\mu^{-1}\tau\mu\sigma\mu^{-1} \cdot d)(\mu\sigma\mu^{-1}\tau\mu\sigma\mu^{-1} \cdot b) \\
= \; & b(\tau \cdot d)(\tau\mu \cdot a)(\tau\mu\sigma\mu^{-1} \cdot d)(\tau\mu\sigma\mu^{-1} \cdot b)(\tau\mu\sigma\mu^{-1}\tau \cdot d) \\
& (\tau\mu\sigma\mu^{-1}\tau\mu \cdot a)(\tau\mu\sigma\mu^{-1}\tau\mu\sigma\mu^{-1} \cdot d),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
& d(\mu\sigma\mu^{-1} \cdot d)(\mu\sigma\mu^{-1}\tau \cdot d)(\mu\sigma\mu^{-1}\tau\mu\sigma\mu^{-1} \cdot d)(\tau \cdot d)(\tau\mu\sigma\mu^{-1} \cdot d)(\tau\mu\sigma\mu^{-1}\tau \cdot d) \\
& (\tau\mu\sigma\mu^{-1}\tau\mu\sigma\mu^{-1} \cdot d) = h
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

with $h := (\mu\cdot a)(\mu\sigma\mu^{-1}\cdot b)(\mu\sigma\mu^{-1}\tau\mu\cdot a)(\mu\sigma\mu^{-1}\tau\mu\sigma\mu^{-1}\cdot b)b(\tau\mu\cdot a)(\tau\mu\sigma\mu^{-1}\cdot b)(\tau\mu\sigma\mu^{-1}\tau\mu\cdot a)$.

We only need to show that there exists $(d,\mu) \in G$ such that (4.5) does not hold in the four cases above, respectively. Let $d = (g_2^{d_1}, g_2^{d_2}, \cdots, g_2^{d_n})$ for any $d \in A$.

(i) Let $\sigma = (123) = \tau = \mu$ and $n = 3$. (4.5) becomes $d(\sigma \cdot d) = h$, which implies $d_1 + d_3 \equiv h_1 \pmod 2$. This is a contradiction since d has not this restriction.

(ii) Let $\tau = (123)$, $\sigma = (12) = \mu$ and $n = 3$. (4.5) becomes $(\tau^{-1}\cdot d)((32)\cdot d)(\tau\cdot d)((13)\cdot d) = h$, which implies $d_1 + d_2 \equiv h_1 \pmod 2$. This is a contradiction since d has not this restriction.

(iii) Let $\sigma = (12)(34)$, $\tau = (1234)$, $\mu = (123)$ and $n = 4$. (4.5) becomes $((13)\cdot d)((4321)\cdot d)((1234)\cdot d)((24)\cdot d) = h$, which implies $d_1 + d_2 + d_3 + d_4 \equiv h_1 \pmod 2$. This is a contradiction since d has not this restriction.

(iv) Let $\sigma = (12)$, $\lambda = (56)(78)\cdots(n-1\ n)$, $\tau = (12)(34)\lambda$, $\mu = (123)$. (4.5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& d((23)\cdot d)((1342)\lambda\cdot d)((13)(24)\lambda\cdot d)((12)(34)\lambda\cdot d)((1243)\lambda\cdot d)((14)\cdot d) \\
& ((14)(23)\cdot d) = h,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

which implies $0 \equiv h_i \pmod 2$ for $i = 1, \cdots, n$. By simple computation, we have $(\mu\cdot a)((1342)\mu\cdot a)((12)(34)\mu\cdot a)((14)\mu\cdot a)\ ((23)\cdot b)((13)(24)\cdot b)\ b((1243)\cdot b) = 1$, which implies $a_3 + a_4 \equiv 0 \pmod 2$. If (a, σ) is a negative cycle, we construct a negative cycle (a', σ) such that $a'_4 \equiv a'_3$ does not hold as follows: Let $a'_i = 0$ when $i \neq 3, 4$, and $a'_4 = 1$, $a'_3 = 0$. If (a, σ) is a positive cycle, we construct a positive cycle (a', σ) such that $a'_4 \equiv a'_3$ does not hold as follows: Let $a'_i = 0$ when $i \neq 1, 3, 4$, and $a'_4 = 1$, $a'_3 = 0$, $a'_1 = 1$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{(a,\sigma)} = \mathcal{O}_{(a',\sigma)}$, we obtain a contradiction.

(v) Let $\tau = 1$. It is clear that (4.5) becomes $(\mu\sigma^2\mu^{-1} \cdot d)d = h$. If $\mathcal{O}_{(a,\sigma)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{(b,1)}$ are square-commutative with $\sigma^2 \neq 1$. then there exists $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $\mu\sigma^{-2}\mu^{-1}(i) = j \neq i$. Thus $d_j + d_i \equiv h_i \pmod 2$. This is a contradiction since d has not this restriction. Conversely, if $\sigma^2 = 1$, then (4.5) holds for any $(d, \mu) \in A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$. Consequently, $\mathcal{O}_{(a,\sigma)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{(b,1)}$ are square-commutative.

(vi) It is clear that $\mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{S}_4}_{(12)(34)}$ and $\mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{S}_4}_{(12)(34)}$ are commutative. (4.5) becomes $1 = h$. That is, $(\mu \cdot a)(\mu\sigma\mu^{-1}\sigma\mu \cdot a)(\sigma\mu \cdot a)(\mu\sigma\mu^{-1}\mu \cdot a)$ $(\mu\sigma\mu^{-1} \cdot b)$ $(\sigma \cdot b)b$ $(\sigma\mu\sigma\mu^{-1} \cdot b) = 1$. It is clear that $\sigma(i)$, $\mu\sigma\mu^{-1}(i)$, $(1)(i)$ and $\mu\sigma\mu^{-1}\sigma(i)$ are different each other for any fixed i with $1 \leq i \leq 4$ when $\mu\sigma\mu^{-1} \neq \sigma$. Therefore, (4.5) holds for any $(d, \mu) \in A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ if and only if $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 \equiv b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + b_4 \pmod 2$. □

We give the third main result:

Theorem 4.11. *Let $G = A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ with $A \subseteq (C_2)^n$ and $n > 2$. Assume that there exist different two pairs $(u(C_1), i_1))$ and $(u(C_2), i_2))$ with $C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$, $i_1 \in I_{C_1}(r, u)$ and $i_2 \in I_{C_2}(r, u)$ such that $u(C_1)$, $u(C_2) \notin A$. If $\dim\mathfrak{B}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u) < \infty$, then the following conditions hold:*

(i) *$n = 4$.*

(ii) *The type of $u(C)$ is 2^2 for any $u(C) \notin A$ and $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$.*

(iii) *The signs of $u(C)$ and $u(C')$ are the same for any $u(C), u(C') \notin A$ and $C, C' \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$.*

Proof. If $\dim\mathfrak{B}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\rho}, u) < \infty$, then by [HS08, Theorem 8.6], $\mathcal{O}^G_{u(C_1)}$ and $\mathcal{O}^G_{u(C_2)}$ are square-commutative. Let $(a, \sigma) := u(C_1)$ and $(b, \tau) := u(C_2)$. It follows from Lemma 4.8 that $\mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{S}_n}_{\sigma}$ and $\mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{S}_n}_{\tau}$ are square-commutative. Considering Lemma 4.9, we have one of the following conditions are satisfied

(i) $n = 3$, $\mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{S}_n}_{\sigma} = \mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{S}_n}_{\tau} = \mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{S}_n}_{(123)}$ or $\mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{S}_n}_{\tau} = \mathcal{O}_{(12)}$ and $\mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{S}_n}_{\sigma} = \mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{S}_n}_{(123)}$.

(ii) $n = 4$, $\mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{S}_n}_{\sigma} = \mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{S}_n}_{\tau} = \mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{S}_n}_{(12)(34)}$ or $\mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{S}_n}_{\tau} = \mathcal{O}_{(1234)}$ and $\mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{S}_n}_{\sigma} = \mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{S}_n}_{(12)(34)}$. Considering Lemma 4.10, we complete the proof. □

In other words, we have

Remark 4.12. *Let $G = A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ with $A \subseteq (C_2)^n$ and $n > 2$. Let $M = M(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_1}, \rho^{(1)}) \oplus M(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_2}, \rho^{(2)}) \cdots \oplus M(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_m}, \rho^{(m)})$ be a reducible* YD *module over kG. Assume that there exist $i \neq j$ such that σ_i, $\sigma_j \notin A$. If $\dim\mathfrak{B}(M) < \infty$, then $n = 4$, the type of σ_p is 2^2 and the sign of σ_p is stable when $\sigma_p \notin A$.*

Theorem 4.13. *Let* $G = A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ *with* $n > 2$ *and* $M = M(\mathcal{O}^G_{\sigma_1}, \rho^{(1)}) \oplus M(\mathcal{O}^G_{\sigma_2}, \rho^{(2)}) \oplus \cdots \oplus M(\mathcal{O}^G_{\sigma_m}, \rho^{(m)})$ *be a reducible* YD *module. If* $\dim \mathfrak{B}(M) < \infty$ *then one of the following holds:*

(i) $m_1 \geq m - 1$.

(ii) $m_2 = m - 1$ *and* $\sigma_i = (a, \tau)$ *with* $\tau^2 = 1$, $\sigma_i \notin A$.

(iii) $m_3 \geq 2$, $n = 4$, $\mathcal{O}_{\sigma_i} = \mathcal{O}_{(a,(12)(34))}$*; the signs of* σ_i *and* σ_j *are the same when* $\sigma_i, \sigma_j \notin A$.

Here $m_1 =: \mid \{i \mid \sigma_i = (g_2, g_2, \cdots, g_2), 1 \leq i \leq m\} \mid$, $m_2 =: \mid \{i \mid \sigma_i \in A, 1 \leq i \leq m\} \mid$, $m_3 =: \mid \{i \mid \sigma_i \notin A, 1 \leq i \leq m\} \mid$.

Proof. Let $\alpha := (g_2, g_2, \cdots, g_2)$. If $m_2 = m - 1$, then $\tau^2 = 1$ by Lemma 4.7. If $m_2 < m - 1$, then case (iii) holds by Remark 4.12. □

5 Relationship between $W(B_n)$ and $W(D_n)$

In this section we establish the relationship between Nichols algebras over $W(B_n)$ and $W(D_n)$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let* H *be a subgroup of* G *with index two. Assume that* $\sigma \in H$ *with* $\mathcal{O}^G_\sigma = \mathcal{O}^H_\sigma$ *and* $\eta \in \widehat{G^\sigma}$. *Then there exists* $\rho \in \widehat{H^\sigma}$ *such that* $M(\mathcal{O}^G_\sigma, \eta) \cong M(\mathcal{O}^H_\sigma, \rho)$ *or* $M(\mathcal{O}^G_\sigma, \eta) \cong M(\mathcal{O}^H_\sigma, \rho) \oplus M(\mathcal{O}^H_\sigma, \overline{\rho})$ *as braided vector spaces.*

Proof. It is easy to see that

$$\eta'(g) := \begin{cases} \eta(g), & \text{if } g \in H^\sigma, \\ -\eta(g) & \text{if } g \in G^\sigma \setminus H^\sigma, \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

defines a new representation of G.

We have two cases (see [AF07, Subsection 2.5]):

(i) $\eta \ncong \eta'$. If $\rho := \eta \mid_{H^\sigma}$, then $\rho \in \widehat{H^\sigma}$, $\rho \cong \overline{\rho}$ and $\rho \uparrow^{G^\sigma}_{H^\sigma} \cong \eta \oplus \eta'$.

(ii) $\eta \cong \eta'$. We have that $\eta \mid_{H^\sigma} \cong \rho \oplus \overline{\rho}$ and $\rho \uparrow^{G^\sigma}_{H^\sigma} \cong \eta \cong \overline{\rho} \uparrow^{G^\sigma}_{H^\sigma}$.

$M(\mathcal{O}^G_\sigma, \eta) \cong M(\mathcal{O}^H_\sigma, \rho)$, for the case (i), as braided vector spaces.

$M(\mathcal{O}^G_\sigma, \eta) \cong M(\mathcal{O}^H_\sigma, \rho) \oplus M(\mathcal{O}^H_\sigma, \overline{\rho})$, for the case (ii), as braided vector spaces.

By [Se, Proposition 23], ρ is irreducible. □

Proposition 5.2. *Let* $G := A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n = W(B_n)$ *and* $H := W(D_n)$ *with* $n > 3$. *Assume that* $\sigma = (a, \xi) \in W(D_n)$ *such that* $G^\sigma = A^\sigma \rtimes \mathbb{S}^\sigma_n$.

(i) *If there exists a negative sign cycle in* (a, ξ) *or there exists a cycle in* (a, ξ) *such that its length is odd, then* $\rho \in \widehat{H^\sigma}$ *and* $\dim\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}^G_\sigma, \eta) = \dim\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}^H_\sigma, \rho)$ *for any* $\eta \in \widehat{G^\sigma}$ *with* $\rho = \eta \mid_{H^\sigma}$.

(ii) *If every sign cycle of* (a, ξ) *is positive and the length of every cycle is even, then* $\dim\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}^G_\sigma, \rho) = \infty$ *when* $\dim\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}^H_\sigma, \rho) = \infty$ *with* $\rho \in \widehat{G^\sigma}$.

Proof. (i) By Lemma 6.5 in the Appendix, $\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G = \mathcal{O}_\sigma^H$. Let $S = \{a \in A \mid a = (g_2^{a_1}, g_2^{a_2}, \cdots, g_2^{a_n})\}$. It is clear that $W(D_n) = S \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$. Now we show that $A^\sigma \not\subseteq S$. In fact, if $A^\sigma \subseteq S$, then $G^\sigma = H^\sigma$, which is a contradiction. Let $\beta \in A^\sigma \setminus S$.

We first show that $\eta \not\cong \eta'$ for any $\eta \in \widehat{G^\sigma}$, where η' is defined in (5.1). In fact, assume $\eta \cong \eta'$. Then $\chi_\eta(x) = 0$ for any $x \in G^\sigma \setminus H^\sigma$, where χ_η denotes the character of η. On the other hand, $\chi_\eta(x) = [\mathbb{S}_n^\sigma, (\mathbb{S}_n^\sigma)_\chi]\chi_{\chi\otimes\mu}(x)$ since $\eta = (\chi \otimes \mu) \uparrow_{(G^\sigma)_\chi}^{G^\sigma}$ by [Sa01, Definition 1.12.2]. Consequently, $\chi_{\chi\otimes\mu}(x) = 0$. For any $\tau \in (\mathbb{S}_n^\sigma)_\chi$, we have that $(\beta, \tau) \in G^\sigma \setminus H^\sigma$. See $\chi_{\chi\otimes\mu}(\beta, \tau) = \chi(\beta)\chi_\mu(\tau) = 0$. Therefore, $\chi_\mu(\tau) = 0$, which is a contradiction since $\chi_\mu(1) \neq 0$. By (i) in the proof of Lemma 5.1, $M(\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G, \eta) \cong M(\mathcal{O}_\sigma^H, \rho)$. Consequently, $\dim\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}_\sigma^G, \eta) = \dim\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{O}_\sigma^H, \rho)$.

(ii) By Lemma 6.5 in the Appendix, $G^\sigma = H^\sigma$. It follows from Corollary 3.5 or [AFGV08, Lemma 2.2] or Proposition 1.6. $\square$

6 Appendix

In this Appendix the conjugacy classes of Weyl groups of types B_n and D_n are found. They were obtained in [Ca72, Page 25-26]. Here for completeness we give a detailed proof of the results by using semi-direct products, while in [Ca72] admissible diagrams were used.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $G = A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ with $A = (\mathcal{C}_2)^n$ and $n > 2$. Then $W(B_n) \cong A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$.*

Proof. By [Ca72, Table 1], $e_i, e_i - e_j$, $-(e_i + e_j), (e_i + e_j)$, for $i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, are roots of B_n with orthonormal set $\{e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Furthermore, $e_1 - e_2$, $e_2 - e_3$, $\cdots$, $e_{n-1} - e_n$, e_n is a prime root system of B_n. Let S denote the subgroup generated by $\{\tau_i := \sigma_{e_i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ in $W(B_n)$ and H the subgroup generated by $\{\sigma_{e_i - e_{i+1}} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$, where σ_{e_i} denotes the reflection of root e_i. It is clear that $W(B_n)$ is a group generated by $S \cup H$. Define map ψ from A to $W(B_n)$ by sending $a = (g_2^{a_1}, g_2^{a_1}, \cdots, g_2^{a_n})$ to $\tau_1^{a_1}\tau_2^{a_2}\cdots\tau_n^{a_n}$ and map ϕ from $\mathbb{S}_n$ to $W(B_n)$ by sending $(i, i+1)$ to $\sigma_{e_i - e_{i+1}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

We first show that ψ and ϕ are group homomorphisms. In fact, $\psi(ab) = \tau_1^{a_1+b_1}\cdots\tau_n^{a_n+b_n}$ $= \tau_1^{a_1}\tau_2^{a_2}\cdots\tau_n^{a_n}\tau_1^{b_1}\tau_2^{b_2}\cdots\tau_n^{b_n} = \psi(a)\psi(b)$ since $\tau_i\tau_j(e_s) = \tau_j\tau_i(e_s) = \begin{cases} e_s & \text{if } \ s \neq i, j \\ -e_s & \text{if } \ s = i \text{ or } j \end{cases}$ for $i \neq j$. Obviously, ϕ is a homomorphisms of groups since $\mathbb{S}_n$ and $W(A_{n-1})$ are isomorphic.

It is enough to show $\phi(x)\psi(a) = \psi(x \cdot a)\phi(x)$, i.e.

$$\mu\tau_1^{a_1}\tau_2^{a_2}\cdots\tau_n^{a_n} = \tau_1^{a_{x^{-1}(1)}}\tau_2^{a_{x^{-1}(2)}}\cdots\tau_n^{a_{x^{-1}(n)}}\mu, \tag{6.1}$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{S}_n$ and $a \in A$ with $\mu = \phi(x)$ and $a = (g_2^{a_1}, \cdots, g_2^{a_n})$. Assume $x = r_{i_1}r_{i_2}\cdots r_{i_t}$. Obviously, $\tau_i^{a_i}(e_s) = (-1)^{a_i\delta_{i,s}}e_s$, where $\delta_{i,s} = 1$ when $i = s$ and $\delta_{i,s} = 0$ otherwise. In fact, $\mu(e_s) = \phi(x)(e_s) = \bar{r}_{i_1}\bar{r}_{i_2}\cdots\bar{r}_{i_t}(e_s) = e_{r_{i_1}r_{i_2}\cdots r_{i_t}(s)} = e_{x(s)}$. See

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\tau_1^{a_1}\tau_2^{a_2}\cdots\tau_n^{a_n}(e_s) &= (-1)^{a_1\delta_{1,s}+a_2\delta_{2,s}+\cdots+a_n\delta_{n,s}}\mu(e_s) \quad \text{and} \\ \tau_1^{a_{x^{-1}(1)}}\tau_2^{a_{x^{-1}(2)}}\cdots\tau_n^{a_{x^{-1}(n)}}\mu(e_s) &= \tau_1^{a_{x^{-1}(1)}}\tau_2^{a_{x^{-1}(2)}}\cdots\tau_n^{a_{x^{-1}(n)}}e_{x(s)} \\ &= (-1)^{a_{x^{-1}(1)}\delta_{1,x(s)}+a_{x^{-1}(2)}\delta_{2,x(s)}+\cdots+a_{x^{-1}(n)}\delta_{n,x(s)}}(e_{x(s)}) \\ &= (-1)^{a_1\delta_{1,s}+a_2\delta_{2,s}+\cdots+a_n\delta_{n,s}}\mu(e_s), \end{aligned}$$

which implies (6.1). □

Let $G = A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$. $(a, \sigma) \in G$ is called a sign cycle if $\sigma = (i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_r)$ is cycle and $a = (g_2^{a_1}, \cdots, g_2^{a_n})$ with $a_i = 0$ for $i \notin \{i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_r\}$. A sign cycle (a, σ) is called positive (or negative) if $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i$ is even (or odd). $(a, \sigma) = (a^{(1)}, \sigma_1)(a^{(2)}, \sigma_2)\cdots(a^{(r)}, \sigma_r)$ is called an independent sign cycle decomposition of (a, σ) if $\sigma = \sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_r$ is an independent cycle decomposition of σ in $\mathbb{S}_n$ and $(a^{(i)}, \sigma_i)$ is a sign cycle for $1 \le i \le r$. Sign cycle decompositions exist and are unique up to rearrangement.

Lemma 6.2. *$W(D_n) = \{(a, \tau) \in W(B_n) \mid$ the number of negative cycles in (a, τ) is even $\} = \{(a, \tau) \in W(B_n) \mid$ the sign of (a, τ) is positive $\}$.*

Proof. $e_i - e_j$, $-(e_i + e_j), (e_i + e_j)$, for $i \ne j, i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, are roots of D_n with orthonormal set $\{e_i \mid 1 \le i \le n\}$. Furthermore, $e_1 - e_2$, $e_2 - e_3$, $\cdots$, $e_{n-1} - e_n$, $e_{n-1} + e_n$ is a prime root system of D_n. Let $r_i := (i, i+1)$, $\tau_i := \sigma_{e_i}$ and $\bar{r}_i := \sigma_{e_i - e_{i+1}}$. If $a = (g_2^{a_1}, \cdots, g_2^{a_n})$ with $a_j = 1$ when $j = i$ and $a_j = 0$ otherwise, then a is denoted by $\widetilde{\tau_i}$. It is clear $\sigma_{e_{n-1}+e_n}(e_s) = \tau_{n-1}\tau_n e_{r_{n-1}(s)}$ and $\sigma_{e_{n-1}+e_n} = \tau_{n-1}\tau_n\bar{r}_{n-1}$. It is enough to show the numbers of negative cycles in $r_i(a, \mu)$ and $\widetilde{\tau_{n-1}\widetilde{\tau}_n} r_{n-1}(a, \mu)$ are even for $1 \le i \le n-1$, respectively, when one of (a, μ) is even since $W(D_n)$ is generated by r_i, $\widetilde{\tau_{n-1}\widetilde{\tau}_n} r_{n-1}$. We only need show that the number of negative cycles in $r_i(a, \mu)$ is even for $1 \le i \le n-1$.

Assume $a = (g_2^{a_1}, \cdots, g_2^{a_n})$. By (6.1), $r_i(a, \mu) = (g_2^{a_{r_i(1)}}, \cdots, g_2^{a_{r_i(n)}})r_i\mu$

(i) Assume that there exists a cycle $(i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_s)$ of μ such that $i = i_1$ and $i_t = i+1$. Then $r_i(i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_s) = (i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_{t-1})(i_t, i_{t+1}, \cdots, i_s)$. If $(i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_s)$ is a negative cycle in (a, μ), then the signs of $(i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_{t-1})$ and $(i_t, i_{t+1}, \cdots, i_s)$ in $r_i(a, \mu)$ are not the same. If $(i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_s)$ is a positive cycle of (a, μ), then the signs of $(i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_{t-1})$ and $(i_t, i_{t+1}, \cdots, i_s)$ in $r_i(a, \mu)$ are the same.

(ii) Assume that there exist two different independent cycles $(i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_s)$ and $(j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_t)$ of μ such that $i = i_1$ and $j_1 = i+1$. Then $r_i(i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_s)$ $(j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_t) = (i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_s, j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_t)$. If the signs of $(i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_s)$ and $(j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_t)$ in (a, μ) are the same, then the sign of $(i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_s, j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_t)$ is positive. If the signs of $(i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_s)$ and $(j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_t)$ in (a, μ) are not the same, then the sign of $(i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_s, j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_t)$ is negative.

(iii) Consequently, the number of negative cycles in $r_i(a, \mu)$ is even if and only if the number of negative cycles in (a, μ) is even. □

Lemma 6.3. *Let* $G = A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$. *Assume that* (a, σ) *and* (a', σ') *are two sign cycles. Then* (a, σ) *and* (a', σ') *are conjugate in* G *if and only if the lengths of* σ *and* σ' *are the same and* $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} a'_i \pmod{2}$.

Proof. If (a, σ) and (a', σ') are conjugate in G, then there exists $(b, \tau) \in G$ such that $(b, \tau)(a, \sigma)(b, \tau)^{-1} = (a', \sigma')$. By simple computation we have

$$a' = b(\tau \cdot a)(\sigma' \cdot b) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma'. \tag{6.2}$$

Thus σ and σ' are conjugate in $\mathbb{S}_n$, which implies that the lengths of σ and σ' are the same. Furthermore, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} a'_i \pmod{2}$.

Conversely, assume the lengths of σ and σ' are the same and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} a'_i \pmod{2}$ with $\sigma = (i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_r)$ and $\sigma' = (i'_1, i'_2, \cdots, i'_r)$. Let $\tau \in \mathbb{S}_n$ such that $\tau(i_j) = i'_j$ for $1 \le j \le r$. It is clear that $a' = \tau\sigma\tau^{-1}$. It is enough to find $b \in A$ such that (6.2) holds. By (6.2), we have $a'_{i'_j} \equiv b_{i'_j} + a_{\tau^{-1}(i'_j)} + b_{\sigma'^{-1}(i'_j)} \pmod{2}$ for $1 \le j \le r$. This implies that

$$\begin{cases} a'_{i'_1} & \equiv \; b_{i'_1} + a_{i_1} + b_{i'_r} \pmod{2} \\ a'_{i'_2} & \equiv \; b_{i'_2} + a_{i_2} + b_{i'_1} \pmod{2} \\ \cdots & \quad \cdots \\ a'_{i'_r} & \equiv \; b_{i'_r} + a_{i_r} + b_{i'_{r-1}} \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

That is,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ . & . & . & \cdots & . & . \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{i'_1} \\ b_{i'_2} \\ b_{i'_3} \\ . \\ b_{i'_r} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} a'_{i'_1} + a_{i_1} \\ a'_{i'_2} + a_{i_2} \\ a'_{i'_3} + a_{i_3} \\ . \\ a'_{i'_r} + a_{i_r} \end{pmatrix} \pmod{2}.$$

The solutions are

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_{i'_1} \\ b_{i'_2} \\ b_{i'_3} \\ . \\ b_{i'_{r-1}} \\ b_{i'_r} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} a'_{i'_1} + a_{i_1} + b_{i'_r} \\ a'_{i'_1} + a_{i_1} + a'_{i'_2} + a_{i_2} + b_{i'_r} \\ a'_{i'_1} + a_{i_1} + a'_{i'_2} + a_{i_2} + a'_{i'_3} + a_{i_3} + b_{i'_r} \\ \cdots \\ a'_{i'_1} + a_{i_1} + a'_{i'_2} + a_{i_2} + \cdots + a'_{i'_{r-1}} + a_{i_{r-1}} + b_{i'_r} \\ b_{i'_r} \end{pmatrix} \pmod{2}, \tag{6.3}$$

where $b_{i'_r} = 1$ or 0. □

Theorem 6.4. *Let* $G = A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$. *Assume* $(a, \sigma) = (a^{(1)}, \sigma_1)(a^{(2)}, \sigma_2) \cdots (a^{(r)}, \sigma_r)$ *and* $(a', \sigma') = (a'^{(1)}, \sigma'_1)(a'^{(2)}, \sigma'_2) \cdots (a'^{(r')}, \sigma'_{r'})$ *are independent sign cycle decomposition of* (a, σ) *and* (a', σ')*, respectively. Then* (a, σ) *and* (a', σ') *are conjugate in* G *if and only if* $(a^{(i)}, \sigma_i)$ *and* $(a'^{(i)}, \sigma'_i)$ *are conjugate in* G *for* $1 \le i \le r$ *with* $r = r'$ *after rearranging.*

Proof. The sufficiency. Assume that $(a^{(i)}, \sigma_i)$ and $(a'^{(i)}, \sigma'_i)$ are conjugate in G for $1 \le i \le r$ and $r = r'$. It follows from the proof of Lemma 6.3 that there exist $\tau \in \mathbb{S}_n$ and

$b \in A$ such that $\tau\sigma_i\tau^{-1} = \sigma'_i$ and $b(\tau \cdot a^{(i)})(\tau\sigma_i\tau^{-1} \cdot b) = a'^{(i)}$ for $1 \le i \le r$. Consequently, $(b, \tau)(a, \sigma)(b, \tau^{-1}) = (a', \sigma')$.

The necessity. There exists $(b, \tau) \in G$ such that $(b, \tau)(a, \sigma)(b, \tau^{-1}) = (a', \sigma')$. Since $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma'$, we have $r = r'$. It is enough to show that $(a^{(i)}, \sigma_i)$ and $(a'^{(i)}, \sigma'_i)$ are conjugate for $1 \le i \le r$ after rearranging. It follows from Lemma 6.3. □

It is clear that $W(B_n) = W(D_n) \cup \beta W(D_n)$ is a left coset decomposition of $W(D_n)$ in $W(B_n)$, where $\beta = (g_2, g_2^0, \cdots, g_2^0) \in A$.

Lemma 6.5. *Let* $(a, \mu) \in W(D_n)$ *with* $n \ge 4$.

(i) *If there exists a negative sign cycle in* (a, μ) *or there exists a cycle in* (a, μ) *such that its length is odd, then* $\mathcal{O}_{(a,\mu)}^{W(B_n)} = \mathcal{O}_{(a,\mu)}^{W(B_n)}$

(ii) *If every sign cycle of* (a, μ) *is positive and the length of every cycle is even, then* $W(B_n)^{(a,\mu)} = W(D_n)^{(a,\mu)}$.

Proof. Let the type of μ be $1^{\lambda_1}2^{\lambda_2}\cdots n^{\lambda_n}$.

(i) Assume that there exists a sign cycle (c, ξ) in (a, μ) with $\xi = (i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_r)$ and odd r. Set $\tau = 1$ and $b = (g_2^{b_1}, g_2^{b_2}, \cdots, g_2^{b_n})$ with $b_i = 1$ when $i = i_1, i_2, \cdots i_r$, otherwise $b_i = 0$. Obviously, $(b, \tau) \in W(B_n)^{(a,\mu)}$ and $(b, \tau) \in W(D_n)^{(a,\mu)}$. Therefore, $W(B_n)^{(a,\mu)} \ne W(D_n)^{(a,\mu)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{(a,\mu)}^{W(B_n)} = \mathcal{O}_{(a,\mu)}^{W(B_n)}$.

Assume that there exists a cycle (c, ξ) in (a, μ) such that (c, ξ) is negative with $\xi = (i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_r)$. Therefore, $W(B_n)^{(a,\mu)} \ne W(D_n)^{(a,\mu)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{(a,\mu)}^{W(B_n)} = \mathcal{O}_{(a,\mu)}^{W(B_n)}$.

We prove (ii) by the following several steps.

(a). Assume $\mu = (i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n)$ with $n = 2l$. If $(b, \tau) \in W(B_n)^{(a,\mu)}$ with $i'_j := \tau(i_j)$ for $1 \le j \le n$, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{i'_j} = \sum_{j=1}^{l} a_{i'_{2j-1}} + \sum_{j=1}^{l} a_{i_{2j-1}} \tag{6.4}$$

by (6.3) and $\tau = \mu^k$ for some k. It is clear that $\sum_{j=1}^{l} a_{i'_{2j-1}} = \sum_{j=1}^{l} a_{i_{2j-1}}$ when $\tau = \mu^{2k}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{l} a_{i'_{2j-1}} = \sum_{j=1}^{l} a_{i_{2j}}$ when $\tau = \mu^{2k-1}$. Consequently, $(b, \tau) \in W(D_n)^{(a,\mu)}$, i.e. $W(B_n)^{(a,\mu)} = W(D_n)^{(a,\mu)}$.

(b). Assume that the type of μ is $(r)^{\lambda_r}$ with even r and $\mu = (1, 2, \cdots, r)(r + 1, \cdots, 2r) \cdots ((\lambda_r - 1) + 1, (\lambda_r - 1)r + 2, \cdots, \lambda_r r)$. Then $\mathbb{S}_n^{\mu}$ is generated by $A_{k,r}$ and $B_{h,r}$ for $1 \le k \le \lambda_r$, $1 \le h \le \lambda_r - 1$, where $A_{k,r} = ((k-1)r + 1, (k-1)r + 2, \cdots, kr)$ and $B_{h,r} = ((h-1)r + 1, hr + 1)((h-1)r + 2, hr + 2) \cdots ((h-1)r + r, hr + r)$.

(c). If the sign cycle $(b, \tau) \in W(B_n)^{(a,\mu)}$ with $\tau = A_{k,r}$, then $b(\tau \cdot a)(\mu \cdot b) = a$. This implies that $b_i + a_{\tau^{-1}(i)} + b_{\mu^{-1}(i)} \equiv a_i$ for $i = (k-1)r + 1, \cdots, (k-1)r + r$. Let $i_1 := (k-1)r + 1$, $i_2 := (k-1)r + 2,$, $\cdots$, $i_r := (k-1)r + r$, and $i'_j := \tau(i_j)$ for $1 \le j \le r$. It is clear that (6.3) holds. Consequently, it follows from the proof of part (a) that $(b, \tau) \in W(D_n)$.

(d). If the sign cycle $(b, \tau) \in W(B_n)^{(a,\mu)}$ with $\tau = B_{h,r}$, then $b(\tau \cdot a)(\mu \cdot b) \equiv a$. This implies that $b_i + a_{\tau^{-1}(i)} + b_{\mu^{-1}(i)} \equiv a_i$ for $i = (h-1)r+1, \cdots, (h-1)r+r$, which is equivalent to $b_{(h-1)r+j} + a_{hr+j} + b_{(h-1)r+j-1} \equiv a_{(h-1)r+j}$ and $b_{hr+j} + a_{(h-1)r+j} + b_{hr+j-1} \equiv a_{hr+j}$. By addition of the two equations we have $(b_{(h-1)r+j} + b_{hr+j}) + (a_{hr+j} + a_{(h-1)r+j}) + (b_{(h-1)r+j-1} + b_{hr+j-1}) \equiv (a_{(h-1)r+j} + a_{hr+j})$, i.e. $(b_{(h-1)r+j} + b_{hr+j}) + (b_{(h-1)r+j-1} + b_{hr+j-1}) \equiv 0$. Consequently, signs of the cycles of $((h-1)r+j, hr+j)$ and $((h-1)r+j-1, hr+j-1)$ in (b, τ) are the same for $j = 2, 3, \cdots r$. Furthermore, the signs of all cycles of $((h-1)r+j, hr+j)$ in (b, τ) for $j = 1, 2, 3, \cdots r$ are the same. Considering that the number of cycles in τ is even, we have $(b, \tau) \in W(D_n)$. $\Box$

Lemma 6.6. *Let $a, b \in A$ and $\tau, \mu \in \mathbb{S}_n$. Then a and c are conjugate in $W(B_n)$ if and only if a and c are conjugate in $W(D_n)$; τ and μ are conjugate in $W(B_n)$ if and only if τ and μ are conjugate in $W(D_n)$.*

Proof. The second claim is clear. If there exists $(b, \xi) \in W(B_n) = A \rtimes \mathbb{S}_n$ such that $(b, \xi)(a)(b, \xi)^{-1} = c$. Thus $\xi \cdot a = c$ and $\xi a \xi^{-1} = c$, which implies that a and c are conjugate in $W(D_n)$. $\Box$

Acknowledgement: Authors were financially supported by the Australian Research Council. S. Zhang thanks the School of Mathematics and Physics, The University of Queensland, and Department of Mathematics, the Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, for hospitality.

References

[AFZ] N. Andruskiewitsch, F. Fantino, S. Zhang, On pointed Hopf algebras associated with the symmetric groups, Manuscripta Math., **128**(2009) 3, 359-371.

[AFGV08] N. Andruskiewitsch, F. Fantino, M. Graña and L.Vendramin, Finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebras with alternating groups are trivial, preprint arXiv:0812.4628, to appear Aparecer en Ann. Mat. Pura Appl..

[AF07] N. Andruskiewitsch and F. Fantino, On pointed Hopf algebras with alternating and dihedral groups, Rev. Unin Mat. Argent., **48** (2007)3, 57–71, Also see preprint arXiv:math 0702559.

[AS98] N. Andruskiewitsch and H. J. Schneider, Lifting of quantum linear spaces and pointed Hopf algebras of order p^3, J. Alg. **209** (1998), 645–691.

[AS00] N. Andruskiewitsch and H. J. Schneider, Finite quantum groups and Cartan matrices, Adv. Math. **154** (2000), 1–45.

[AZ07] N. Andruskiewitsch and S. Zhang, On pointed Hopf algebras associated to some conjugacy classes in $\mathbb{S}_n$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **135** (2007), 2723-2731.

[Ca72] R. W. Carter, Conjugacy classes in the Weyl group, Compositio Mathematica, **25**(1972)1, 1–59.

[Gr00] M. Graña, On Nichols algebras of low dimension, Contemp. Math. **267** (2000),111–134.

[He06a] I. Heckenberger, Classification of arithmetic root systems, preprint arXiv:math.QA/0605795. Also see Advances in Mathematics, **220** (2009)1, 59-124.

[He06b] I. Heckenberger, The Weyl-Brandt groupoid of a Nichols algebra of diagonal type, Invent. Math. **164** (2006), 175–188.

[HS08] I. Heckenberger and H.-J. Schneider, Root systems and Weyl groupoids for Nichols algebras, preprint arXiv:0807.0691, to appear Proc. London Math. Soc..

[Mo93] S. Montgomery, Hopf algebras and their actions on rings. CBMS Number 82, Published by AMS, 1993.

[Se] J.-P. Serre, Linear representations of finite groups, Springer-Verlag, New York 1977.

[Sa01] B. E. Sagan, The Symmetric Group: Representations, Combinatorial Algorithms, and Symmetric Functions, Second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 203, Springer-Verlag, 2001.

[Su78] M. Suzuki, Group Theory I, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.

[ZZC] S. Zhang, Y-Z Zhang and H. X. Chen, Classification of PM quiver Hopf algebras, J. Algebra Appl. **6** (2007)(6), 919-950.

[ZCZ] S. Zhang, H. X. Chen and Y-Z Zhang, Classification of quiver Hopf algebras and pointed Hopf algebras of Nichols type, preprint arXiv:0802.3488.

[ZWW] S. Zhang, M. Wu and H. Wang, Classification of ramification systems for symmetric groups, Acta Math. Sinica, **51** (2008) 2, 253–264.

[ZZWCY] S. Zhang, Y-Z Zhang, P. Wang, J. Cheng and H. Yang, On Pointed Hopf algebras with Weyl groups of exceptional type, preprint arXiv:0804.2602.